arXiv:q-alg/9710031v2 21 Apr 1998

Degenerate Double Affine Hecke Algebra and Conformal Field Theory

Tomoyuki Arakawa, Takeshi Suzuki†and Akihiro Tsuchiya

Abstract

We introduce a class of induced representations of the degenerate double affine Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathfrak{gl}_N(\mathbb{C})$ and analyze their structure mainly by means of intertwiners of $\mathcal{H}$. We also construct them from $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_m(\mathbb{C})$-modules using Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov connections in the conformal field theory. This construction provides natural quotients of the induced modules, which correspond to the integrable $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_m(\mathbb{C})$-modules. Some conjectural formulas are presented for the symmetric part of them.

Introduction

In this paper, the representations of the degenerate double affine Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}$ are discussed from view points of the conformal field theory associated to the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_m(\mathbb{C})$. The relations between the KZ-connections of the conformal field theory and the representations of the degenerate affine Hecke algebra was first discussed by Cherednik [1]. And Matsuo [2] succeeded to clarify the relations between the differential equations satisfied by spherical functions and KZ-connections.

At first part of this paper we discuss the properties of the parabolic induced modules of $\mathcal{H}$, which are induced from a certain one dimensional representations of parabolic subalgebras of $\mathcal{H}$.

Secondly we will give an explicit construction of $\mathcal{H}$-modules from $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_m(\mathbb{C})$ modules through KZ-connections. It will be shown that these $\mathcal{H}$-modules arising from Verma modules of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_m(\mathbb{C})$ correspond to parabolic induced modules of $\mathcal{H}$.

In the final part of this paper, we will discuss the structure of the representations of affine Hecke algebra arising from level ℓ integrable representations of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_m(\mathbb{C})$.

---

†Supported by JSPS the Research Fellowships for Young Scientists.

We describe the contents of the paper more precisely:

In §1 we introduce basic notions about the degenerate double affine Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}$. In particular, intertwiners of weight spaces of $\mathcal{H}$ play essential roles in the analysis of $\mathcal{H}$-modules.

In §2, we introduce parabolic induced modules and investigate their structure when the parameter is generic (Definition 2.4.1), and the results are these (Proposition 2.4.3, Theorem 2.4.6, Corollary 2.4.7):

(1) The irreducibility of the standard modules are shown and their basis are described by intertwining operators.

(2) Decompositions of the standard modules as $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$-modules are obtained.

(3) The symmetric part of the standard modules are decomposed into weight spaces with respect to the action of the center of $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$, and their basis are constructed again by using intertwiners.

In non-generic case, we present a sufficient condition for an induced module to have a unique irreducible quotient (Corollary 2.5.4).

§3 is devoted to some preliminaries on affine Lie algebras and in §4, we realize $\mathcal{H}$-modules as a quotient space of a tensor product of $\mathfrak{g} = \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_m(\mathbb{C})$-modules. More precisely, for $\mathfrak{g}$-modules A, B, we consider the space

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{F}(A,B)\\ &= \left(A \otimes \otimes_{i=1}^{N} \left(\mathbb{C}[z_i^{\pm 1}] \otimes \mathbb{C}^m\right) \otimes B\right) \big/ \mathfrak{g}' \left(A \otimes \otimes_{i=1}^{N} \left(\mathbb{C}[z_i^{\pm 1}] \otimes \mathbb{C}^m\right) \otimes B\right),\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{C}[z_i^{\pm 1}] \otimes \mathbb{C}^m$ is an evaluation module of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}' = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ acts diagonally on the tensor product. By combining the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov connection with the Cherednik-Dunkl operator, we define an action of $\mathcal{H}$ on $\mathcal{F}(A, B)$ (Theorem 4.2.2).

In §5, we construct the isomorphism between a parabolic induced module and $\mathcal{M}(\mu, \lambda) := \mathcal{F}(M(\mu), M^*(\lambda))$ for highest and lowest Verma module $M(\mu)$ and $M^*(\lambda)$, λ and μ being weights of $\mathfrak{g}$ (Proposition 5.2.3).

Since our construction turns out to be functorial, $\mathcal{V}(\mu, \lambda) := \mathcal{F}(L(\mu), L^*(\lambda))$ gives a quotient module of $\mathcal{M}(\mu, \lambda)$, where $L(\mu)$ and $L^*(\lambda)$ are the irreducible quotients of $M(\mu)$ and $M^*(\lambda)$ respectively. We focus on the case where λ and μ are both dominant integral weights and study about $\mathcal{V}(\mu, \lambda)$.

In the last section §6, we focus on the symmetric part of $\mathcal{V}(\mu, \lambda)$ for dominant integral weights λ, μ, and present a description of the basis by intertwiners (Conjecture 6.2.6) as a consequence of the character formula (85), which is still conjectural since it is proved under the assumption that a certain sequence of $\mathcal{H}$-modules (coming from BGG exact sequence of $\mathfrak{g}$) is exact (Conjecture 6.1.1).

It is interesting that character formula (85) also appears in the theory of the solvable lattice model [10].

Preliminaries

In this section, we review the basic notions about the degenerate double affine Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}$.

1.1 Affine root system

Let $\bar{\mathfrak{t}} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{C}\epsilon_i^\vee$ be the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_N(\mathbb{C})$ with the invariant bilinear form $(\epsilon_i^\vee, \epsilon_j^\vee) = \delta_{ij}$. Define the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{t}$ of the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_N(\mathbb{C})$ by $\mathfrak{t} = \bar{\mathfrak{t}} \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d$. Extend the non-degenerate invariant symmetric bilinear form $(,)$ to $\mathfrak{t}$ by putting $(c,d) = 1$ and $(\epsilon_i, c) = (\epsilon_i, d) = (c,c) = (d,d) = 0$. Let $\bar{\mathfrak{t}}^* = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{C}\epsilon_i$ be the dual space of $\bar{\mathfrak{t}}$ and $\mathfrak{t}^* = \bar{\mathfrak{t}}^* \oplus \mathbb{C}\delta \oplus \mathbb{C}c^*$ be the dual space of $\mathfrak{t}$, where ϵ_i, δ and c^* are the dual vectors of $\epsilon_i^\vee$, d and c respectively. We often identify $\mathfrak{t}^*$ with $\mathfrak{t}$ via the correspondences $\epsilon_i \mapsto \epsilon_i^\vee$, $\delta \mapsto c$ and $c^* \mapsto d$. Let $\zeta^\vee \in \mathfrak{t}$ denote the vector corresponding to $\zeta \in \mathfrak{t}^*$.

Define the systems R of roots, R_+ of positive roots and Π of simple roots of type $A_{N-1}^{(1)}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
&R = \left\{\alpha + k\delta \,|\, \alpha \in \bar{R},\, k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\},\\
&R_+ = \left\{\alpha + k\delta \mid \alpha \in \bar{R}_+,\, k \geq 0\right\} \sqcup \left\{-\alpha + k\delta \mid \alpha \in \bar{R}_+,\, k > 0\right\},\\
&\Pi = \{\alpha_0 := \delta - (\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_N)\} \sqcup \bar{\Pi},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{R}$, $\bar{R}_+$ and $\bar{\Pi}$ are the systems of roots, positive roots and simple roots of type A_{N-1} respectively:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bar{R} = \{\alpha_{ij} = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j \mid i \neq j\},\\
&\bar{R}_+ = \{\alpha_{ij} \mid i < j\},\\
&\bar{\Pi} = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{N-1}\} \quad (\alpha_i = \alpha_{ii+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

1.2 Affine Weyl group

Let $\mathfrak{t}' = \bar{\mathfrak{t}} \oplus \mathbb{C}c \subset \mathfrak{t}$. We consider the dual space $(\mathfrak{t}')^*$ of $\mathfrak{t}'$ as a subspace of $\mathfrak{t}^*$ via the identification $(\mathfrak{t}')^* = \mathfrak{t}^*/\mathbb{C}\delta \cong \bar{\mathfrak{t}}^* \oplus \mathbb{C}c^*$.

Let $\bar{Q}$ be the root lattice $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{N-1} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$ and

$\bar{P}$ be the weight lattice $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{Z}\epsilon_i$. Let $\bar{W}$ be the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{gl}_N(\mathbb{C})$, which is isomorphic to the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_N$. The *affine Weyl group* W is defined as a semidirect product

$$W = \bar{W} \ltimes \bar{P},$$

with the relation $w\cdot t_\eta \cdot w^{-1} = t_{w(\eta)}$, where w and t_η are the elements in W corresponding to $w\in\bar{W}$ and $\eta\in\bar{P}$ respectively. The group W contains the affine Weyl group $W^a = \bar{W}\ltimes\bar{Q}$ of type $A^{(1)}_{N-1}$ as its subgroup.

Let $s_\alpha \in \bar{W}$ be the reflection corresponding to $\alpha\in\bar{R}$. The action of W on an element $\xi\in\mathfrak{t}$ is given by the following formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} &s_\alpha(\xi) = \xi - \alpha(\xi)\alpha^\vee \quad \Big(\alpha\in\bar{R}\Big)\,,\\ &t_\eta(\xi) = \xi + \delta(\xi)\eta^\vee - \Big(\eta(\xi) + \tfrac{1}{2}(\eta,\eta)^2\delta(\xi)\Big)\,c \quad \Big(\eta\in\bar{P}\Big)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The dual action on $\mathfrak{t}^*$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &s_\alpha(\zeta) = \zeta - (\alpha,\zeta)\alpha \quad \Big(\alpha\in\bar{R},\ \zeta\in\mathfrak{t}^*\Big)\,,\\ &t_\eta(\zeta) = \zeta + (\delta,\zeta)\eta - \Big((\eta,\zeta) + \tfrac{1}{2}(\eta,\eta)^2(\delta,\zeta)\Big)\,\delta \quad \Big(\eta\in\bar{P},\ \zeta\in\mathfrak{t}^*\Big)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

With respect to these actions, the inner products in $\mathfrak{t}$ and $\mathfrak{t}^*$ are W-invariant. The action (2) preserves the set R of roots.

The following action on $(\mathfrak{t}')^*$ is called the *affine action*:

$$\begin{aligned} &s_\alpha(\zeta) = \zeta - (\alpha,\zeta)\alpha \quad \Big(\alpha\in\bar{R},\zeta\in(\mathfrak{t}')^*\Big)\,,\\ &t_\eta(\zeta) = \zeta + (\delta,\zeta)\eta \quad \Big(\eta\in\bar{P},\zeta\in(\mathfrak{t}')^*\Big)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

For an affine root $\alpha = \bar{\alpha} + k\delta\,(\bar{\alpha}\in\bar{R}, k\in\mathbb{Z})$, define the corresponding affine reflection by $s_\alpha = t_{-k\bar{\alpha}}\cdot s_{\bar{\alpha}}$. Set $s_i = s_{\alpha_i}$ for $i=0,\dots,N-1$. We often identify the set $\{0,\dots,N-1\}$ with the abelian group $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ throughout this article. Let $\pi = t_{\epsilon_1}\cdot s_1\cdots s_{N-1}$. The following is well-known.

Proposition 1.2.1 *The group W is isomorphic to the group defined by the following generators and relations:*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \textit{generators} & : & s_i \quad (i\in\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}),\ \pi^{\pm1},\\ \textit{relations} & : & s_i^2 = 1\ (i\in\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}),\\ & & s_i\cdot s_{i+1}\cdot s_i = s_{i+1}\cdot s_i\cdot s_{i+1}\ (i\in\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}),\\ & & s_i\cdot s_j = s_j\cdot s_i\ (i-j\not\equiv\pm1 \bmod N),\\ & & \pi\cdot s_i = s_{i+1}\cdot\pi\ (i\in\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}),\\ & & \pi\cdot\pi^{-1} = 1, \end{array}$$

and the subgroup W^a is generated by the simple reflections $s_0,\dots,s_{N-1}$. In particular,

$$W \cong \Omega\ltimes W^a,$$

where $\Omega = \langle\pi^{\pm1}\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

For $w\in W$, let $S(w) = R_+\cap w^{-1}(R_-)$, where R_- is the set of negative roots $R\backslash R_+$. The length $l(w)$ of $w\in W$ is defined as the number $\sharp S(w)$ of the elements in $S(w)$. For $w\in W$, an expression $w = \pi^k\cdot s_{j_1}\cdots s_{j_m}$ is called a reduced expression if $m = l(w)$. Put $\bar{S}(w) = S(w)\cap\bar{R}_+$.

Remark 1.2.2 *The elements of the abelian subgroup* Ω *are characterized as elements of length* 0 *in* W, *i.e.,* $\Omega = \{w \in W \mid l(w) = 0\}$.

The partial ordering $\preceq$ called the *Bruhat ordering* is defined in the Coxeter group W^a: $w \preceq w'$ if w can be obtained as a subexpression of a reduced expression of w'. Extend this ordering $\preceq$ to the partial ordering in W by $\pi^k w \preceq \pi^{k'} w' \Leftrightarrow k = k'$ and $w \preceq w'$ $(w, w' \in W^a)$.

1.3 Degenerate double affine Hecke algebra

Let $\mathbb{C}[W]$ denote the group algebra of W and $S[\mathfrak{t}']$ denote the symmetric algebra of $\mathfrak{t}'$. Clearly $\mathbb{C}[W] = \mathbb{C}[\bar{P}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[\bar{W}]$ and $S[\mathfrak{t}'] = S[\bar{\mathfrak{t}}'] \otimes \mathbb{C}[c]$.

The degenerate double affine Hecke algebra was introduced by Cherednik ([1]).

Definition 1.3.1 *The degenerate double affine Hecke algebra (DDAHA)* $\mathcal{H}$ *is the unital associative* $\mathbb{C}$*-algebra defined by the following properties:*
(i) As a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space,

$$\mathcal{H} \cong \mathbb{C}[W] \otimes S[\mathfrak{t}'].$$

(ii) The natural inclusions $\mathbb{C}[W] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and $S[\mathfrak{t}'] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ are algebra homomorphisms (the images of $w \in W$ and $\xi \in \bar{\mathfrak{t}}'$ will be simply denoted by w and ξ).
(iii) The following relations hold in $\mathcal{H}$:

$$s_i \cdot \xi - s_i(\xi) \cdot s_i = -\alpha_i(\xi) \quad (i = 0, \ldots, N-1,\ \xi \in \mathfrak{t}'), \tag{4}$$
$$\pi \cdot \xi = \pi(\xi) \cdot \pi \quad (\xi \in \mathfrak{t}'). \tag{5}$$

Remark 1.3.2 *By definition, the element* $c \in \mathcal{H}$ *belongs to the center* $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{H})$. *And the original algebra defined in* [1] *is the quotient algebra* $\mathcal{H}(\kappa) = \mathcal{H}/\langle c - \kappa \cdot \mathrm{id} \rangle$ $(\kappa \in \mathbb{C}^*)$.

Definition 1.3.3 *Define the degenerate affine Hecke algebra* (*DAHA*) $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$ *as the following subalgebra of* $\mathcal{H}$*:*

$$\bar{\mathcal{H}} = \langle w \in \bar{W}, \bar{\xi} \in \bar{\mathfrak{t}} \rangle \cong \mathbb{C}[\bar{W}] \otimes S[\bar{\mathfrak{t}}].$$

The following proposition is easy to prove (see [3]).

Proposition 1.3.4 (i) *For $w \in W$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}'$, we have*

$$\xi \cdot w = w \left(\cdot w^{-1}(\xi) + \sum_{\alpha \in S(w)} w(\alpha)(\xi) s_\alpha \right).$$

In particular,

$$\xi \cdot w = w \cdot w^{-1}(\xi) + \sum_{w' \prec w} c_{w'} w',$$

for some $c_{w'} \in \mathbb{C}$.
(ii) *For $p \in S[\mathfrak{t}']$ and $i = 0, \ldots, N-1$, we have*

$$p \cdot s_i - s_i \cdot s_i(p) = -\triangle_i(p), \tag{6}$$

where $\triangle_i(p) = \frac{1}{\alpha_i^\vee}(p - s_i(p)) \in S[\mathfrak{t}']$.

$(w' \in W^a)$. Note that $\mathcal{H} = S[\mathfrak{t}'] \cdot \mathbb{C}[W] = \mathbb{C}[W] \cdot S[\mathfrak{t}']$ from (i) of the above proposition.

Remark 1.3.5 *Let $S(\mathfrak{t}')$ be the quotient field of $S[\mathfrak{t}']$. Then one can naturally extend the definition of $\mathcal{H}$ to the algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H} \otimes_{S[\mathfrak{t}']} S(\mathfrak{t}') \cong \mathbb{C}[W] \otimes S(\mathfrak{t})$ by using* Eq. (6) *in place of* Eq. (4)

Proposition 1.3.6
(i) *The center $Z(\mathcal{H})$ of $\mathcal{H}$ equals $\mathbb{C}[c]$.*
(ii) *The center $Z(\bar{\mathcal{H}})$ of $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$ equals the $\bar{W}$-invariant part $S[\bar{\mathfrak{t}}]^{\bar{W}}$ of $S[\bar{\mathfrak{t}}]$.*

Outline of Proof.) (cf. [4]) (i) Let $Z_{S[\mathfrak{t}']}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the elements in $\mathcal{H}$ which commutes with $S[\mathfrak{t}']$. By the above Proposition 1.3.4 (i), it follows that $Z_{S[\mathfrak{t}']}(\mathcal{H}) = S[\mathfrak{t}']$. Then by (ii) of the proposition, one can see that $Z(\mathcal{H}) = S[\mathfrak{t}']^W = \mathbb{C}[c]$. The proof for (ii) is the same. □

Let us identify the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[\bar{P}]$ with the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[z_1^\pm, \ldots, z_N^\pm]$ by putting $z_i = e^{\epsilon_i} \in \mathbb{C}[\bar{P}]$.

The following proposition gives another description of the algebra $\mathcal{H}$:

Proposition 1.3.7 *The algebra $\mathcal{H}$ is the unital associative $\mathbb{C}$-algebra such that*

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}[\bar{P}] \otimes \bar{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[c] \quad \textit{as a } \mathbb{C}\textit{-vector space},$$

$$\begin{aligned} & c \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{H}), \\ & w \cdot f \cdot w^{-1} = w(f) \ \left(w \in \bar{W},\ f \in \mathbb{C}[\bar{P}]\right), \\ & \left[\bar{\xi}, f\right] = c\, \partial_{\bar{\xi}}(f) + \textstyle\sum_{\bar{\alpha} \in \bar{R}_+} \bar{\alpha}(\bar{\xi}) \frac{(1-s_{\bar{\alpha}})(f)}{1-e^{-\bar{\alpha}}} \cdot s_{\bar{\alpha}} \ \left(\bar{\xi} \in \bar{\mathfrak{t}},\ f \in \mathbb{C}[\bar{P}]\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\partial_{\bar{\xi}} \cdot e^{\bar{\eta}} = \bar{\eta}(\bar{\xi}) e^{\bar{\eta}}$ ($\xi \in \bar{\mathfrak{t}}$, $\bar{\eta} \in \bar{P}$) and the natural inclusions $\mathbb{C}[\bar{P}] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}$, $\bar{\mathcal{H}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathbb{C}[c] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ are algebra homomorphisms.

Proof. follows directly from Definition 1.3.1 and Proposition 1.3.4. □

Let $W^{\geq 0} \subset W$ be the subsemigroup generated by $s_i (i = 1, \dots, N)$ and π. Define

$$\mathcal{H}^{\geq 0} = \mathbb{C}[W^{\geq 0}] \otimes S[\mathfrak{t}'] \cong \mathbb{C}[\bar{P}^{\geq 0}] \otimes \bar{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[c],$$

where $\bar{P}^{\geq 0} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\epsilon_i$. Then it is easy to see that $\mathcal{H}^{\geq 0}$ is the subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}$ by the above proposition.

1.4 Intertwiners

For an $\mathcal{H}$-module V and $\zeta \in (\mathfrak{t}')^*$, define the weight space V_ζ and the generalized weight space V_ζ^{gen} with respect to the action of $S[\mathfrak{t}']$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&V_\zeta = \{v \in V \,|\, \xi \cdot v = \zeta(\xi) v \text{ for any } \xi \in \mathfrak{t}'\} \\
&V_\zeta^{\text{gen}} = \left\{ v \in V \mid (\xi - \zeta(\xi))^k \cdot v = 0 \text{ for any } \xi \in \mathfrak{t}', \ \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varphi_i = 1 + s_i \alpha_i^\vee \in \mathcal{H} \ (i \in \{0, \dots, N-1\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}), \\
&\varphi_\pi = \pi \in \mathcal{H}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\varphi_i \cdot \xi = s_i(\xi) \cdot \varphi_i, \tag{7}$$

$$\varphi_\pi \cdot \xi = \pi(\xi) \cdot \varphi_\pi, \tag{8}$$

for $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}'$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ (Eq. (8) is nothing but the defining relation (5)).

Proposition 1.4.1 [4] *The above defined elements satisfy the following relations:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varphi_i \cdot \varphi_{i+1} \cdot \varphi_i = \varphi_{i+1} \cdot \varphi_i \cdot \varphi_{i+1} \ (i \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}), \\
&\varphi_i \cdot \varphi_j = \varphi_j \cdot \varphi_j \ \ (i - j \neq \pm 1 \ mod \ N), \\
&\varphi_\pi \cdot \varphi_i = \varphi_{i+1} \cdot \varphi_\pi \ (i \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}), \\
&\varphi_i^2 = 1 - \alpha_i^{\vee 2} \ (i \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We only show $\varphi_i \cdot \varphi_{i+1} \cdot \varphi_i = \varphi_{i+1} \cdot \varphi_i \cdot \varphi_{i+1}$. The rest is easy. Note that φ_i has its inverse in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$. ¿From Proposition 1.3.4 (i), one can prove that $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} = S(\mathfrak{t}') \cdot \mathbb{C}[W]$ and $Z_{S(\mathfrak{t}')}(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}) := \left\{ X \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}} \mid [X, p] = 0 \text{ for all } p \in S(\mathfrak{t}') \right\} = S(\mathfrak{t}')$. Since $(\varphi_i \cdot \varphi_{i+1} \cdot \varphi_i) \cdot (\varphi_{i+1} \cdot \varphi_i \cdot \varphi_{i+1})^{-1} \in Z_{S(\mathfrak{t}')}(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}})$ by Eq. (7), it follows that

$$\varphi_i \cdot \varphi_{i+1} \cdot \varphi_i = p \cdot \varphi_{i+1} \cdot \varphi_i \cdot \varphi_{i+1}$$

for some $p \in S(\mathfrak{t}')$. By comparing the coefficient of $s_i \cdot s_{i+1} \cdot s_i = s_{i+1} \cdot s_i \cdot s_{i+1}$ of both sides, we get the desired equality. □

Let

$$\varphi_w = \varphi_\pi^k \cdot \varphi_{j_1} \cdots \varphi_{j_l} \in \mathcal{H},$$

for $w = \pi^k \cdot s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_l} \in W$ (reduced expression). These elements are well-defined by the Proposition 1.4.1, and Eq.(7) reads as

$$\varphi_w \cdot \xi = w(\xi) \cdot \varphi_w \ \ (w \in W, \xi \in \mathfrak{t}'). \tag{9}$$

Hence, we have

Proposition 1.4.2 *Let V be an $\mathcal{H}$-module. Let $\zeta \in (\mathfrak{t}')^*$ and $w \in W$. Then $\varphi_w \cdot v \in V_{w(\zeta)}$ for any $v \in V_\zeta$.*

Here recall that the action of W on $(\mathfrak{t}')^*$ is the affine action (defined by Eq (3)). The element φ_w is called the intertwiner (of weight spaces).

Proposition 1.4.3 *Let $w \in W$.*
(i)

$$\varphi_w = w \cdot \prod_{\alpha \in S(w)} \alpha^\vee + \sum_{x \prec w} x \cdot f_x,$$

for some $f_x \in S[\mathfrak{t}']$.
(ii)

$$\varphi_{w^{-1}} \cdot \varphi_w = \prod_{\alpha \in S(w)} (1 - \alpha^{\vee 2}).$$

Proof. (i) follows from the well-known fact $S(w) = \{s_{j_l} \cdots s_{j_2}(\alpha_{j_1}), s_{j_l} \cdots s_{j_3}(\alpha_{j_2}), \ldots, \alpha_{j_l}\}$ for $w = \pi^k s_{j_1} s_{j_2} \cdots s_{j_l}$ (reduced expression). (ii) follows from the last relation of Proposition 1.4.1. $\square$

Lemma 1.4.4 *If $w \in W^{\geq 0}$, then $\varphi_w \in \mathcal{H}^{\geq 0}$.*

Representations

In this section we study some important class of representations of $\mathcal{H}$ for the next section.

2.1 Induced representations

Recall that every irreducible representation of the degenerate affine Hecke algebra $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$ can be obtained as the unique irreducible quotient of a *standard module* (see [5]), which is a representation induced from some parabolic subalgebra" of $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$. Hence it is natural to start with investing such induced modules:

Let us denote $\beta \vdash N$ if an ordered sequence $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)$ of positive integers is a (ordered) partition of N, i.e., $\sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i = N$.

For a given $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r) \vdash N$, let $I_\beta = (I_\beta^{(1)}, I_\beta^{(2)}, \dots, I_\beta^{(m)})$, where $I_\beta^{(k)} = \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \beta_i + 1, \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \beta_i + 2, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_i\right\}$. Define $\bar{R}_\beta = \left\{\alpha_{ij} \in \bar{R} \mid i, j \in I_{\beta_k} \text{ for some } k\right\}$, $\bar{R}_{\beta.+} = \bar{R}_+ \cap \bar{R}_\beta$ and $\bar{\Pi}_\beta = \bar{\Pi} \cap \bar{R}_\beta$. Let $\bar{W}_\beta$ be the subgroup of $\bar{W}$ generated by s_α $(\alpha \in \bar{\Pi}_\beta)$. Then clearly $\bar{W}_\beta$ is a parabolic subgroup of $\bar{W}$:

$$\bar{W}_\beta \cong \bar{W}_{\beta_1} \times \cdots \times \bar{W}_{\beta_r} \ (\bar{W}_{\beta_j} = \mathfrak{S}_{\beta_j}). \tag{10}$$

Define subalgebras

$$\mathcal{H}_\beta = S[\mathfrak{t}'] \otimes \mathbb{C}[\bar{W}_\beta] \subset \mathcal{H}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{H}}_\beta = S[\bar{\mathfrak{t}}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[\bar{W}_\beta] \subset \bar{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{11}$$

We call $\mathcal{H}_\beta$ (resp. $\bar{\mathcal{H}}_\beta$) the parabolic subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}$ (resp. of $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$) associated with $\beta \vdash N$. Clearly $\mathcal{H}_\beta = \bar{\mathcal{H}}_\beta \otimes \mathbb{C}[c]$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{t}')^*_\beta &= \left\{\zeta \in (\mathfrak{t}')^* \,|\, \zeta(\alpha) = -1 \text{ for } \alpha \in \bar{\Pi}_\beta\right\} \subset (\mathfrak{t}')^*, & (12)\\ \bar{\mathfrak{t}}^*_\beta &= \left\{\zeta \in \bar{\mathfrak{t}}^* \,|\, \zeta(\alpha) = -1 \text{ for } \alpha \in \bar{\Pi}_\beta\right\} \subset \bar{\mathfrak{t}}^*. & (13) \end{aligned}$$

Then an element $\zeta \in (\mathfrak{t}')^*_\beta$ (resp. $\zeta \in \bar{\mathfrak{t}}^*_\beta$) defines a well-defined one-dimensional representation $\mathbb{C}1_\zeta$ of $\mathcal{H}_\beta$ (resp. of $\bar{\mathcal{H}}_\beta$):

$$\begin{aligned} &w \cdot 1_\zeta = 1_\zeta \quad \text{for all } w \in \bar{W}_\beta, \\ &\xi \cdot 1_\zeta = \zeta(\xi) 1_\zeta \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathfrak{t}' \text{ (resp. } \xi \in \bar{\mathfrak{t}}\text{)}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.1.1 *Define the induced representation* $\mathcal{Y}_\beta(\zeta)$ *of* $\mathcal{H}$ *and* $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}_\beta(\zeta)$ *of* $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$ *by*

$$\mathcal{Y}_\beta(\zeta) = \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_\beta} \mathbb{C}1_\zeta, \bar{\mathcal{Y}}_\beta(\zeta) = \bar{\mathcal{H}} \otimes_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}_\beta} \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}_\zeta.$$

The cyclic vectors $1 \otimes 1_\zeta$ *will be denoted by* $\mathbf{1}_\zeta$.

Clearly,

$$\mathcal{Y}_\beta(\zeta) \cong \mathbb{C}[W/\bar{W}_\beta] \text{ as } W\text{-module}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{Y}}_\beta(\zeta) \cong \mathbb{C}[\bar{W}/\bar{W}_\beta] \text{ as } \bar{W}\text{-module}.$$

Let $\bar{\zeta}$ be the image of $\zeta \in (\mathfrak{t}')^*_\beta$ by the projection $(\mathfrak{t}')^*_\beta \to \bar{\mathfrak{t}}^*_\beta$. Then,

$$\mathcal{Y}_\beta(\zeta) \cong \mathcal{H}(\kappa) \otimes_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}} \bar{\mathcal{Y}}_\beta(\bar{\zeta}) \cong \mathbb{C}[\bar{P}] \otimes \bar{\mathcal{Y}}_\beta(\bar{\zeta}),$$

where $\mathcal{H}(\kappa) = \mathcal{H}/\langle c - \kappa \,\mathrm{id}\rangle$ and $\kappa = \zeta(c)$.

2.2 Basis

Define the following subsets of W for $\beta \vdash N$:

$$\begin{aligned} & W^{\beta} = \left\{ w \in W \,|\, l(w \cdot u) \geq l(w) \text{ for any } u \in \bar{W}_{\beta} \right\}, \\ & \bar{W}^{\beta} = W^{\beta} \cap \bar{W}. \end{aligned}$$

The following well-known proposition will be frequently used in the rest of this section.

Proposition 2.2.1
(i) $W^{\beta} = \left\{ w \in W \mid S(w) \subset R_{+} \backslash \bar{R}_{\beta,+} \right\}$ *and* $\bar{W}^{\beta} = \left\{ w \in \bar{W} \mid S(w) \subset \bar{R}_{+} \backslash \bar{R}_{\beta,+} \right\}$.
(ii) *For any* $w \in W$*(resp.* $\bar{W}$*), there exist a unique* $w_1 \in W^{\beta}$*(resp.* $\bar{W}^{\beta}$*) and a unique* $u \in \bar{W}_{\beta}$*, such that* $w = w_1 \cdot u$*. Their length satisfy* $l(w) = l(w_1) + l(u)$*. In particular, the set* W^{β} *(resp.* $\bar{W}^{\beta}$*) gives a complete representatives in the coset* $W/\bar{W}_{\beta}$ *(resp.* $\bar{W}/\bar{W}^{\beta}$*).*

Hence the space $\mathcal{Y}_{\beta}(\zeta)$ has a basis $\left\{ w \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\zeta} \mid w \in W^{\beta} \right\}$ with the partial ordering $\preceq$ among them induced from the Bruhat ordering.

Let us give more precise description of this basis:

Definition and Proposition 2.2.2 *For* $\eta \in \bar{P}$*, define* γ_{η} *as the element of* $\bar{W}$ *with shortest length possible such that* $\gamma_{\eta}(\eta) \in \bar{P}_{-}$*. Then,* $S(\gamma_{\eta}) = \left\{ \alpha \in \bar{R}_{+} \,|\, (\eta, \alpha) > 0 \right\}$.

Example 2.2.3 *Let* $\eta = a\epsilon_1 + b(\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3) + c(\epsilon_4 + \epsilon_5 + \epsilon_6) + b(\epsilon_7 + \epsilon_8 + \epsilon_9)$ *with* $b < c < a$*. Then* $\gamma_{\eta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\ 9 & 1 & 2 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 3 & 4 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$.

Lemma 2.2.4
(i) *For the partition* (N) *(the partition such that* $I_{(N)} = \{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$*),*

$$W^{(N)} = \left\{ t_{\eta} \cdot \gamma_{\eta}^{-1} \mid \eta \in \bar{P} \right\}.$$

(ii) *For a general partition* $\beta \vdash N$*,*

$$W^{\beta} = W^{(N)} \cdot \bar{W}^{\beta}.$$

Moreover, $l(w) = l(t_{\eta} \cdot \gamma_{\eta}^{-1}) + l(x)$ *for* $w = t_{\eta} \cdot \gamma_{\eta}^{-1} \cdot x$ $(\eta \in \bar{P},\ x \in \bar{W}^{\beta})$.

Proof. follows from Proposition 2.2.1 and Definition and Proposition 2.2.2.
□

2.3 Representatives in double coset

In this subsection we want to give a description of the double coset $\bar{W}\backslash W/\bar{W}_\beta$, which is related to the decomposition of $\mathcal{Y}_\beta(\zeta)$ into a direct sum of $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$-modules. In this subsection $\beta \vdash N$ is fixed.

Let $\bar{P}_- = \Big\{\eta \in \bar{P} \,|\, (\eta, \alpha) \leq 0 \text{ for any } \alpha \in \bar{R}_+\Big\}$ and $\bar{P}_{\beta,-} = \Big\{\eta \in \bar{P} \,|\, (\eta, \alpha) \leq 0 \text{ for any } \alpha \in \bar{R}_{\beta,+}\Big\}$.

It is not difficult to see the following lemma:

Lemma 2.3.1 *The set $\bar{P}_{\beta,-}$ gives complete representatives in the double coset $\bar{W}\backslash W/\bar{W}_\beta$.*

For later purposes, let us give another description of the representatives in the double coset:

Definition 2.3.2 *For each $w \in \bar{W}^\beta$, define the element $\eta_w \in \bar{P}_-$ by the following conditions*:

$$(\eta_w, \epsilon_1) = 0, \quad (\eta_w, \alpha_i) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } \alpha_i \in w(\bar{R}_+\backslash \bar{R}_{\beta,+}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \qquad (i = 1, \ldots, N-1).$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{P}_-(w) &= \Big\{\eta + \eta_w \mid \eta \in \bar{P}_-\Big\} \subset \bar{P}_-, \\ X^\beta &= \Big\{t_\eta \cdot w \mid w \in \bar{W}^\beta, \ \eta \in \bar{P}_-(w)\Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\gamma_\eta = 1$ for $\eta \in \bar{P}_-$ and thus $X^\beta \subseteq W^\beta$ by Lemma 2.2.4.

Lemma 2.3.3 $\bar{P}_-(w) = \Big\{\eta \in \bar{P}_- \mid (\eta, \alpha) < 0 \textit{ for } \alpha \in w(\bar{R}_+\backslash \bar{R}_{\beta,+}) \cap \bar{R}_+\Big\}$.

Proof. It is easy to see that the right hand side is included in $\bar{P}_-(w)$. To prove the opposite inclusion, we suppose that $\eta \in \bar{P}_-(w)$ and $(\eta, \alpha_{ij}) = 0$ $(i < j)$ and will show that $\alpha_{ij} \notin w(\bar{R}_+\backslash \bar{R}_{\beta,+}) \cap \bar{R}_+$. Since $\eta \in \bar{P}_-$, it follows that $(\eta, \alpha_{ij}) = 0$ implies $(\eta, \alpha_k) = 0$, and thus $\alpha_k \notin w(\bar{R}_+\backslash \bar{R}_{\beta,+})$ for $i \leq k < j$. Now $\alpha_{ij} \notin w(\bar{R}_+\backslash \bar{R}_{\beta,+}) \cap \bar{R}_+$ follows using $\bar{R}_+ = w(\bar{R}_{\beta,+}) \sqcup \Big(w(\bar{R}_+\backslash \bar{R}_{\beta,+}) \cap \bar{R}_+\Big) \sqcup S(w^{-1})$.

Definition 2.3.4 *Let $\eta \in \bar{P}_{\beta,-}$. We define*

η_- : *the unique element of $\bar{P}_- \cap \{w(\eta) \mid w \in \bar{W}\}$,*
$\tilde{\omega}_\eta$: *the unique longest element in $\bar{W}$ such that $\tilde{\omega}_\eta(\eta) = \eta_-$,*
ω_η : *the unique shortest element in $\tilde{\omega}_\eta \bar{W}_\beta$.*

The following lemma is easy to see.

Lemma 2.3.5 *Let $\eta \in \bar{P}_{\beta,-}$ and let $w \in \bar{W}$ be such that $w(\eta) = \eta_-$. Suppose that $s_i \in \bar{W}_\beta$ and $l(ws_i) < l(w)$. Then $s_i(\eta) = \eta$.*

Lemma 2.3.6 *For $\eta \in \bar{P}_{\beta,-}$, we have*
(i) $\eta_- \in \bar{P}_-(\omega_\eta)$.
(ii) $\omega_\eta(\eta) = \eta_-$.
(iii) $\bar{W} t_\eta \bar{W}_\beta = \bar{W} t_{\eta_-} \omega_\eta \bar{W}_\beta$.

Proof. Put $\omega = \omega_\eta$. To prove (i), we shall show $(\eta_- - \eta_\omega, \alpha_i) \leq 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N-1$. This holds obviously if $(\eta_-, \alpha_i) < 0$ or $(\eta_\omega, \alpha_i) = 0$. We suppose that

$$(\eta_-, \alpha_i) = 0 \text{ and } \alpha_i \in \omega(\bar{R}_+),$$

and we shall show $\alpha_i \in \omega(\bar{R}_{\beta,+})$. Note that this implies $(\eta_-, \alpha_i) = 0 \Rightarrow (\eta_\omega, \alpha_i) = 0$ and thus completes the proof of $\eta_- \in \bar{P}_-(\omega)$. Write $\omega = \tilde{\omega} z$, where $\tilde{\omega} = \tilde{\omega}_\eta$ and $z \in \bar{W}_\beta$. Since $(\eta_-, \alpha_i) = 0$ we have $s_i \tilde{\omega}(\eta) = s_i(\eta_-) = \eta_-$. Hence $l(s_i \tilde{\omega}) < l(\tilde{\omega})$, and this is equivalent to $\tilde{\omega}^{-1}(\alpha_i) \in \bar{R}_-$. Combining with $\alpha_i \in \omega(\bar{R}_+)$, we have $\omega^{-1}(\alpha_i) \in \bar{R}_+ \cap z^{-1}(\bar{R}_-)$, which is a subset of $\bar{R}_{\beta,+}$ as $z \in \bar{W}_\beta$.

Let us prove (ii). Since $\omega(\eta) = \tilde{\omega} z(\eta)$, it is enough to prove $z(\eta) = \eta$. If $z = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_p}$ is a reduced expression of z, then we have $s_{i_1}, s_{i_2}, \ldots, s_{i_p} \in \bar{W}_\beta$ and $l(\tilde{\omega}) > l(\tilde{\omega} s_{i_1}) > l(\tilde{\omega} s_{i_1} s_{i_2}) > \cdots > l(\tilde{\omega} s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_p}) = l(\tilde{\omega} z)$. Now the statement follows from Lemma 2.3.5.

The statement (iii) is a direct consequence of (ii). □

Proposition 2.3.7 *The set $X^\beta \subseteq W$ gives complete representatives in the double coset $\bar{W} \backslash W / \bar{W}_\beta$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.3.6, we can define the map $f : \bar{P}_{\beta,-} \to X^\beta$ by $f(\eta) = t_{\eta_-} \omega_\eta$ $(\eta \in \bar{P}_{\beta,-})$. We define the map $g : X^\beta \to \bar{P}$ by $g(t_\eta w) = w^{-1}(\eta)$ $(w \in \bar{W}^\beta$, $\eta \in \bar{P}_-(w))$. Let $\alpha \in \bar{R}_{\beta,+}$. Then $w(\alpha) \in \bar{R}_+$ by Proposition 2.2.1-(i). Therefore $(w^{-1}(\eta), \alpha) = (\eta, w(\alpha)) \leq 0$ and thus $g(t_\eta w) \in \bar{P}_{\beta,-}$. Namely the image of g is included in $\bar{P}_{\beta,-}$. By Lemma 2.3.6-(ii), we have $g \circ f = \mathrm{id}_{\bar{P}_{\beta,-}}$. Let us check $f \circ g = \mathrm{id}_{X^\beta}$. For $t_{\eta'} w \in X^\beta$, put $\eta = w^{-1}(\eta') \in \bar{P}_{\beta,-}$. Then $f \circ g(t_{\eta'} w) = t_{\eta_-} \omega_\eta$. It is easy to see $\eta' = \eta_-$. We will show $w = \omega_\eta$. Since $\eta' \in \bar{P}_-(w)$, it can be shown using Lemma 2.3.3 that

$$S(w) = \{\alpha \in \bar{R}_+ \setminus \bar{R}_{\beta,+} \mid (\eta, \alpha) \geq 0\}.$$

Similarly, by $\eta_- = \eta' \in \bar{P}_-(\omega_\eta)$, we have

$$S(\omega_\eta) = \{\alpha \in \bar{R}_+ \setminus \bar{R}_{\beta,+} \mid (\eta, \alpha) \geq 0\} = S(w). \tag{14}$$

Thus we have $w = \omega_\eta$ as required. Now the statement follows from Lemma 2.3.1 and Lemma 2.3.6-(iii). □

Remark 2.3.8 *Let $\eta \in \bar{P}_{\beta,-}$. The formula* (14) *implies that ω_η is also characterized as the longest element such that*

$$\omega_\eta \in \bar{W}^\beta \text{ and } \omega_\eta(\eta) = \eta_-. \tag{15}$$

Definition 2.3.9 *For $w \in W$, define $\beta(w)$ as an ordered partition of N such that*

$$\bar{\Pi}_{\beta(w)} = \bar{\Pi} \cap w(\bar{R}_{\beta,+}).$$

Set

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \bar{W}_{\beta(w)} & = & \langle s_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \bar{\Pi}_{\beta(w)} \rangle, \\ \bar{W}_{[w]} & = & \{u \in \bar{W} \mid uw\bar{W}_\beta = w\bar{W}_\beta\}. \end{array}$$

The proof of the following lemma is elementary.

Lemma 2.3.10 (i) *For $w \in W^\beta$, the followings are equivalent*:

$$(a)\ s_i w \notin W^\beta. \quad (b)\ s_i \in \bar{W}_{\beta(w)}. \quad (c)\ s_i \in \bar{W}_{[w]}.$$

(ii) *Let $x = t_\eta w \in X^\beta$ $(\eta \in \bar{P}_-(w),\ w \in \bar{W}^\beta)$. Then*

$$\bar{W}_{[x]} = \bar{W}_\eta \cap \bar{W}_{[w]},$$

where $\bar{W}_\eta = \{u \in \bar{W} \mid w(\eta) = \eta\}$.

Proposition 2.3.11 *For $x \in X^\beta$, we have*

$$\bar{W}_{[x]} = \bar{W}_{\beta(x)}.$$

Proof. Let $x = t_\eta w \in X^\beta$ $(\eta \in \bar{P}_-(w),\ w \in \bar{W}^\beta)$. It is obvious that $\bar{W}_{\beta(x)} \subseteq \bar{W}_{[x]}$. We will prove $u \in \bar{W}_{[x]} \Rightarrow u \in \bar{W}_{\beta(x)}$ by the induction on $l(u)$. The case $l(u) = 1$ has been proved in Lemma 2.3.10-(i). Let $l(u) > 1$. It is enough to show that there exists $s_i \in \bar{W}_{[x]}$ such that $s_i u \in \bar{W}_{[x]}$ and $l(u) = l(s_i u) + 1$. Because $\bar{W}_\eta$ is generated by the simple refrections s_i such that $(\eta, \alpha_i) = 0$, we can find $s_i \in \bar{W}_\eta$ such that $l(u) = l(s_i u) + 1$. We will show $s_i \in \bar{W}_{[x]}$, or equivalently, $v := s_i u \in \bar{W}_{[x]}$.

If $s_i x \notin W^\beta$, then $s_i \in \bar{W}_{[x]}$ by Lemma 2.3.10-(i). Suppose $s_i x \in W^\beta$. Then, noting

$$\bar{R}_+ = w(\bar{R}_{\beta,+}) \sqcup (w(\bar{R}_+ \setminus \bar{R}_{\beta,+}) \cap \bar{R}_+) \sqcup S(w^{-1}), \tag{16}$$

we have $s_i \in S(w^{-1})$. It follows from $u = s_i v \in \bar{W}_{[x]} \subseteq \bar{W}_{[w]}$ that $S(w^{-1}) \subseteq S(w^{-1}v^{-1}s_i)$ and in particular, $w^{-1}v^{-1}s_i(\alpha_i) = -w^{-1}v^{-1}(\alpha_i) \in \bar{R}_-$. Hence $v^{-1}(\alpha_i) \notin S(w^{-1})$. Since $(\eta, v^{-1}(\alpha_i)) = (v(\eta), \alpha_i) = 0$, we have $v^{-1}(\alpha_i) \in w(\bar{R}_{\beta,+})$ (by using (16) again). Hence $w\bar{W}_\beta = uw\bar{W}_\beta = s_i v w\bar{W}_\beta = v s_{v^{-1}(\alpha_i)} w\bar{W}_\beta = vw\bar{W}_\beta$. Therefore $v \in \bar{W}_{[x]}$ by Lemma 2.3.10-(ii). □

Corollary 2.3.12 *Let $x \in X^{\beta}$. We have $\bar{W}^{\beta(x)}x \subseteq W^{\beta}$. Moreover, the map*

$$\bigsqcup_{x \in X^{\beta}} \bar{W}^{\beta(x)} \to W^{\beta}$$

given by $w \mapsto wx$ $(w \in \bar{W}^{\beta(x)})$ is bijective.

2.4 Structure in generic

In this section $\beta \vdash N$ is still fixed.

Definition 2.4.1 *A weight $\zeta \in (\mathfrak{t}')^*_{\beta}$ (resp. $\bar{\mathfrak{t}}^*_{\beta}$) is said to be β-generic if $(\zeta, \alpha) \notin \{1, 0, -1\}$ for any $\alpha \in \cup_{w \in W^{\beta}} S(w)$ (resp. $\alpha \in \cup_{w \in \bar{W}^{\beta}} \bar{S}(w)$).*

Remark 2.4.2 *A weight $\zeta \in (\mathfrak{t}')^*_{\beta}$ (resp. $\bar{\mathfrak{t}}^*_{\beta}$) is β-generic if and only if $(\zeta, \alpha) \notin \{1, 0, -1\}$ for any $\alpha \in R_{+} \backslash \bar{R}_{\beta,+}$ (resp. $\bar{R}_{+} \backslash \bar{R}_{\beta,+}$).*

Proposition 2.4.3 *If $\zeta \in (\mathfrak{t}')^*_{\beta}$ (resp. $\bar{\mathfrak{t}}^*_{\beta}$) is β-generic, then $\mathcal{Y}_{\beta}(\zeta)$ (resp. $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}_{\beta}(\zeta)$) is an irreducible $\mathcal{H}$-module (resp. $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$-module) with a basis $\left\{\varphi_w \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\zeta} \,|\, w \in W^{\beta}\right\}$* (resp. $\left\{\varphi_w \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\zeta} \,|\, w \in \bar{W}^{\beta}\right\}$).

Proof. Let $\zeta \in (\mathfrak{t}')^*_{\beta}$. By Proposition 1.4.3 (i) and Proposition 2.2.1 (ii), each vector $\varphi_w \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\zeta}$ has the form of $\varphi_w \mathbf{1}_{\zeta} = c \cdot w\mathbf{1}_{\zeta} + \sum_{v \prec w} c_v v \mathbf{1}_{\zeta}$ for some $c \neq 0$ and $c_v \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence the elements $\left\{\varphi_w \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\zeta} \mid w \in W^{\beta}\right\}$ form a basis of $\mathcal{Y}_{\beta}(\zeta)$. Moreover, by Proposition 1.4.3 (ii), each φ_w $(w \in W^{\beta})$ is invertible on the vector $\mathbf{1}_{\zeta}$. The proof of the statement about $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}_{\beta}(\zeta)$ is the same. □

Any weight $\zeta \in (\mathfrak{t}')^*$ defines a character $[\zeta] : S[\mathfrak{t}']^{\bar{W}} = S[\bar{\mathfrak{t}}]^{\bar{W}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[c] \to \mathbb{C}$ via the evaluation at ζ. It is regarded as an element of $(\mathfrak{t}')^*/\bar{W}$. (Recall that $S[\bar{\mathfrak{t}}]^{\bar{W}}$ is the center of $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$.)

Proposition 2.4.4 *Suppose that $\zeta \in (\mathfrak{t}')^*_{\beta}$ (resp. $\bar{\mathfrak{t}}^*_{\beta}$) is β-generic and $(\zeta, \delta) \neq 0$. Then*
(i) *The map $W \to (\mathfrak{t}')^*$ (resp $\bar{W} \to \bar{\mathfrak{t}}^*$) given by $w \mapsto w(\zeta)$ is injective.*
(ii) *The map $X^{\beta} \to (\mathfrak{t}')^*/\bar{W}$ given by $x \mapsto [x(\zeta)]$ is injective.*

Proof. The β-genericity of $\zeta \in (\mathfrak{t}')^*_{\beta}$ implies that $(\zeta, \alpha) \neq 0$ for any $\alpha \in R$. ¿From this, the statements follow easily. □

Lemma 2.4.5 *Let $\zeta \in (\mathfrak{t}')^*_{\beta}$. Let $w \in W^{\beta}$ and $\alpha_i \in \bar{\Pi}_{\beta(w)}$. Then $s_i \cdot \varphi_w \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\zeta} = \varphi_w \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\zeta}$ for the cyclic vector $\mathbf{1}_{\zeta} \in \mathcal{Y}_{\beta}(\zeta)_{\zeta}$.*

Proof. Since $s_i w = w s_{w^{-1}(\alpha_i)}$ and $w^{-1}(\alpha_i) \in \bar{R}_{\beta,+}$, we have $l(w s_{w^{-1}(\alpha_i)}) = l(s_i w) = l(w) + 1$. By Proposition 2.2.1-(ii), we have $l(w s_{w^{-1}(\alpha_i)}) = l(w) + l(s_{w^{-1}(\alpha_i)})$. Hence $\varphi_i \cdot \varphi_w \cdot \mathbf{1}_\zeta = \varphi_{s_i w} \cdot \mathbf{1}_\zeta = \varphi_{w s_{w^{-1}(\alpha_i)}} \cdot \mathbf{1}_\zeta = \varphi_w \cdot \varphi_{s_{w^{-1}(\alpha_i)}} \cdot \mathbf{1}_\zeta$. Noting $(w(\zeta), \alpha_i) = -1$ and $s_{w^{-1}(\alpha_i)} \mathbf{1}_\zeta = \mathbf{1}_\zeta$, we have

$$(1 - s_i)\varphi_w \mathbf{1}_\zeta = \varphi_i \cdot \varphi_w \mathbf{1}_\zeta = \varphi_w \cdot \varphi_{s_{w^{-1}(\alpha_i)}} \mathbf{1}_\zeta = \varphi_w \cdot (1 - s_{w^{-1}(\alpha_i)}) \mathbf{1}_\zeta = 0$$

as required. □

Theorem 2.4.6 *Suppose that $\zeta \in (\mathfrak{t}'_\beta)^*$ is β-generic and $(\zeta, \delta) \neq 0$. Then*

$$\mathcal{Y}_\beta(\zeta) = \bigoplus_{x \in X^\beta} \bar{\mathcal{H}} \cdot \varphi_x \mathbf{1}_\zeta. \tag{17}$$

Moreover, each $\bar{\mathcal{H}} \cdot \varphi_x \mathbf{1}_\zeta$ is isomorphic to $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}_{\beta(x)}(x(\bar{\zeta}))$ and irreducible as an $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$-module.

Proof. First we will prove the latter part. It is easy to check that $x(\bar{\zeta}) \in \bar{\mathfrak{t}}^*_{\beta(x)}$ and it is $\beta(x)$-generic. Hence $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}_{\beta(x)}(x(\bar{\zeta}))$ is irreducible. By Lemma 2.4.5, there exists a surjective $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$-homomorphism

$$\bar{\mathcal{Y}}_{\beta(x)}(x(\bar{\zeta})) \to \bar{\mathcal{H}} \varphi_x \mathbf{1}_\zeta$$

such that $\mathbf{1}_{x(\bar{\zeta})} \mapsto \varphi_x \mathbf{1}_\zeta$. This is bijective since $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}_{\beta(x)}(x(\bar{\zeta}))$ is irreducible.

It follows from Proposition 2.4.3 and Proposition 2.4.4-(i) that $\bar{\mathcal{H}} \varphi_x \mathbf{1}_\zeta = \oplus_{w \in \bar{W}^{\beta(x)}} \mathbb{C} \varphi_{wx} \mathbf{1}_\zeta$. Now the statement follows from Corollary 2.3.12 and Proposition 2.4.3. □

For an $\mathcal{H}$-module V, define its $\bar{W}$-invariant part by $V^{\bar{W}} = \{v \in V \mid wv = v \text{ for all } w \in \bar{W}\}$. Then $S[\mathfrak{t}']^{\bar{W}}$ acts on $V^{\bar{W}}$. For a character $\chi : S[\mathfrak{t}']^{\bar{W}} \to \mathbb{C}$, we set

$$V^{\bar{W}}_\chi = \{v \in V^{\bar{W}} \mid p \cdot v = \chi(p) v \text{ for all } p \in S[\mathfrak{t}']\}.$$

By Proposition 2.4.4-(ii) and Theorem 2.4.6, we have

Corollary 2.4.7 *Suppose that $\zeta \in (\mathfrak{t}')^*_\beta$ is β-generic and $(\zeta, \delta) \neq 0$. Then*

$$\mathcal{Y}_\beta(\zeta)^{\bar{W}} = \ \oplus_{x \in X^\beta} \mathcal{Y}_\beta(\zeta)^{\bar{W}}_{[x(\zeta)]}$$

Moreover, for each $x \in X^\beta$, we have $\mathcal{Y}_\beta(\zeta)^{\bar{W}}_{[x(\zeta)]} = \mathbb{C} Q \varphi_x \mathbf{1}_\zeta \neq 0$, where $Q = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{w \in \bar{W}} w \in \mathbb{C}[\bar{W}]$.

2.5 Unique irreducible quotients

Lemma 2.5.1 *Let $\beta \vdash N$ and $\zeta \in (\mathfrak{t}')^*_\beta$. Then,*

$$\mathcal{Y}_\beta(\zeta) = \bigoplus_{\zeta' \in \left\{ w(\zeta) \mid w \in W^\beta \right\}} \mathcal{Y}_\beta(\zeta)^{\mathrm{gen}}_{\zeta'}$$

and $\dim \mathcal{Y}_\beta(\zeta)^{\mathrm{gen}}_{\zeta'} = \sharp \left\{ w \in W^\beta \mid w(\zeta) = \zeta' \right\}$ *if it is finite.*

Proof. Use the fact from Proposition 1.3.4 (i), that for any $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}'$ and $w \in W^\beta$, $\xi \cdot w\mathbf{1}_\zeta = w(\zeta)(\xi) w\mathbf{1}_\zeta + \sum_{v \prec w} d_v v$ for some $d_v \in \mathbb{C}$. □

Proposition 2.5.2 *Let $\beta \vdash N$ and $\zeta \in (\mathfrak{t}')^*_\beta$. Suppose that* $\dim \mathcal{Y}_\beta(\zeta)_\zeta = 1$. *Then the $\mathcal{H}$-module $\mathcal{Y}_\beta(\zeta)$ has an unique irreducible quotient $\mathcal{L}_\beta(\zeta)$.*

Proof. Let M be a proper submodule of $\mathcal{Y}_\beta(\zeta)$. Then M admits the (generalized) weight decomposition, thus $M \subset \bigoplus_{\zeta' \neq \zeta} \mathcal{Y}_\beta(\zeta)^{\mathrm{gen}}_{\zeta'}$ since the vector $\mathbf{1}_\zeta$ is a cyclic vector and if $M^{\mathrm{gen}}_\zeta \neq \{0\}$, then $M_\zeta \neq \{0\}$. Hence the sum of the all proper submodules is the maximal proper submodule of $\mathcal{Y}_\beta(\zeta)$. □

Proposition 2.5.3 *Let $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) \vdash N$ and $\zeta \in (\mathfrak{t}')^*_\beta$. Set $k_a = \sum_{i=1}^{a-1} \beta_i + 1$ $(a = 1, \dots, m)$. Suppose that the following conditions hold*:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\zeta, \delta) \notin \mathbb{Q}_{\leq 0}, \\ &(\zeta, r\delta + \alpha_{k_a k_b}) \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0} \text{ for any } \ 1 \leq a < b \leq m, \ r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \\ &(\zeta, r\delta - \alpha_{k_a k_b}) \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0} \text{ for any } \ 1 \leq a < b \leq m, \ r \in \mathbb{Z}_{> 0}. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Then $w(\zeta) \neq \zeta$ for any $w \in W^\beta \setminus \{1\}$, where 1 denotes the unit element of W. In particular $\mathcal{Y}_\beta(\zeta)^{\mathrm{gen}}_\zeta = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}_\zeta$.

Proof. (Step 1) First we prove that $w(\zeta) \neq \zeta$ for any $w \in \bar{W}^\beta \setminus \{1\}$. Suppose that $w(\zeta) = \zeta$ for $w \in \bar{W}^\beta \setminus \{1\}$. Let p be the smallest number such that $w(p) \neq p$, and let $k_a \leq p < k_{a+1}$. Then $w^{-1}(p) = k_b > p (\geq k_a)$ for some b since $w \in \bar{W}^\beta$. But then $0 = (\zeta - w(\zeta), \epsilon_p) = (\zeta, \alpha_{p\, w^{-1}(p)}) = (\zeta, \alpha_{p\, k_a}) + (\zeta, \alpha_{k_a, k_b})$. This implies $(\zeta, \alpha_{k_a, k_b}) = (\zeta, \alpha_{k_a, p}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$. This contradicts the condition (18).

(Step 2) Let $x \in W^\beta$. By Lemma 2.2.4, we can write $x = t_\eta \cdot \gamma_\eta^{-1} \cdot w = \gamma_\eta^{-1} \cdot t_{\gamma_\eta(\eta)} \cdot w$ $(\eta \in \bar{P}$, $w \in \bar{W}^\beta)$. Suppose that $x(\zeta) = \zeta$. By (Step 1), it is sufficent to prove $\eta = 0$. Suppose $\eta \neq 0$. Putting $\epsilon = \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i$, we have $(\zeta, \epsilon) = (x(\zeta), \epsilon) = (t_{\gamma_\eta(\eta)} w(\zeta), \epsilon) = (\zeta, \epsilon) + (\zeta, \delta)(\gamma_\eta(\eta), \epsilon)$, and thus $(\gamma_\eta(\eta), \epsilon) = 0$. This implies $r := -(\gamma_\eta(\eta), \epsilon_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ as $\gamma_\eta(\eta) \in \bar{P}_-$. Since $t_{\gamma_\eta(\eta)} w(\zeta) = \gamma_\eta(\zeta)$, we have

$$0 = (t_{\gamma_\eta(\eta)} w(\zeta) - \gamma_\eta(\zeta), \epsilon_1) = (\gamma_\eta(\eta), \epsilon_1)(\zeta, \delta) + (\zeta, \alpha_{w^{-1}(1), \gamma_\eta^{-1}(1)}). \tag{19}$$

Note that $w^{-1}(1) = k_a$ for some a. Write $\gamma_\eta^{-1}(1) = p$ and let $k_b \leq p < k_{b+1}$. Then (19) leads $0 = -(\zeta, r\delta - \alpha_{k_a,p})$ and thus

$$(\zeta, r\delta - \alpha_{k_a,k_b}) = (\zeta, \alpha_{k_b,p}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}. \tag{20}$$

It is easy to see that (20) never occurs under the condition (18). □

As a consequence of the results above, we have

Corollary 2.5.4 *Let $\beta \vdash N$. Suppose that $\zeta \in (\mathfrak{t}')^*_\beta$ satisfies the condition* (18)*. Then the $\mathcal{H}$-module $\mathcal{Y}_\beta(\zeta)$ has a unique irreducible quotient $\mathcal{L}_\beta(\zeta)$.*

Affine Lie algebras and classical r-matrices

We introduce some notations and basic facts on affine Lie algebras, which will be used in the next section.

3.1 Affine Lie algebra of type $A^{(1)}_{m-1}$

Let $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_m(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \bar{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}c_{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{C}d_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_m(\mathbb{C})$ associated with $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ with the commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&[X \otimes f, Y \otimes g] = [X, Y] \otimes fg + (X \otimes f, Y \otimes g)_{\mathfrak{g}} c_{\mathfrak{g}}, \\
&[d_{\mathfrak{g}}, X \otimes f] = X \otimes t\tfrac{df}{dt}, \quad c_{\mathfrak{g}} \in Z(\mathfrak{g})
\end{aligned}$$

for $X, Y \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $f, g \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$, where the invariant bilinear form $(\ ,\ )_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is defined by

$$\begin{gathered}
(X \otimes f, Y \otimes g)_{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathrm{tr}(XY) \,\mathrm{Res}_{t=0}\, f\tfrac{dg}{dt}dt, \\
(X \otimes f, c_{\mathfrak{g}})_{\mathfrak{g}} = (X \otimes f, d_{\mathfrak{g}})_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0, \\
(c_{\mathfrak{g}}, d_{\mathfrak{g}})_{\mathfrak{g}} = 1,\ (c_{\mathfrak{g}}, c_{\mathfrak{g}})_{\mathfrak{g}} = (d_{\mathfrak{g}}, d_{\mathfrak{g}})_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0.
\end{gathered} \tag{21}$$

A Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is given by $\mathfrak{h} = \bar{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \mathbb{C}c_{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{C}d_{\mathfrak{g}}$, where $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}$ is a Carten subalgebra of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$. Its dual space is denoted by $\mathfrak{h}^* = \bar{\mathfrak{h}}^* \oplus \mathbb{C}c^*_{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{C}\delta_{\mathfrak{g}}$, where $c^*_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and δ_g denote the dual of $d_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $c_{\mathfrak{g}}$ respectively: $c^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(x) = (d_{\mathfrak{g}}, x)_{\mathfrak{g}},\ \delta_g(x) = (c_{\mathfrak{g}}, x)_{\mathfrak{g}}$ for any $x \in \mathfrak{h}$. We write the root system and the set of positive roots of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ by $R_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}}$ and $R_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}+}$ respectively. Then we have the root space decomposition $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = \bar{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus (\oplus_{\gamma \in R_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}}} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\gamma)$. We choose the set $\{E_\gamma \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\gamma \mid \gamma \in R_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}}\}$ of root vectors such that $(E_\gamma, E_{-\gamma}) = 1$ for each $\gamma \in R_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}+}$, and put $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_\pm = \oplus_{\gamma \in R_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}+}} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\pm\gamma} = \oplus_{\gamma \in R_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}+}} \mathbb{C}E_{\pm\gamma}$. Let $\{H_a\}_{a=1}^{m-1}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}$.

The classical r-matrix of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ is defined by

$$\bar{r} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{a=1}^{m-1} H_a \otimes H_a + \sum_{\gamma \in R_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}+}} E_\gamma \otimes E_{-\gamma} \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \bar{\mathfrak{g}}. \tag{22}$$

Choose a set $\{\gamma_0, \ldots, \gamma_{m-1}\}$ of simple roots of $\mathfrak{g}$, then the Weyl group $W_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is generated by the simple reflections σ_a corresponding to γ_a ($a = 1, \ldots, m-1$). We put $\rho_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\gamma \in R_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}+}} \gamma$, and denote its dual by $\check{\rho}_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}} \in \bar{\mathfrak{h}}$. Put

$$\rho_{\mathfrak{g}} = \rho_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}} + mc^*_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad \check{\rho}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \check{\rho}_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}} + md_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

We have a triangular decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_-$ with $\mathfrak{n}_\pm = \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_\pm \oplus (\bar{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]t^{\pm 1})$. and put $\mathfrak{b}_\pm = \mathfrak{n}_\pm \oplus \mathfrak{h}$. Define the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}'$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, and its subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}'$ and $\mathfrak{b}'_\pm$ by

$$\mathfrak{g}' = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \bar{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}c_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad \mathfrak{h}' = \bar{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \mathbb{C}c_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad \mathfrak{b}'_\pm = \mathfrak{n}_\pm \oplus \mathfrak{h}'. \tag{23}$$

3.2 The universal classical r-matrix

We introduce the universal classical r matrix of $\mathfrak{g}$ and some of its properties, which are used in the next section. Let $\{J_k\}_{k=1,\ldots,\dim \bar{\mathfrak{g}}}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Definition 3.2.1 *The universal classical r-matrix r of $\mathfrak{g}$ is defined by*

$$r = \bar{r} + \frac{1}{2}(c_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes d_{\mathfrak{g}} + d_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes c_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{k=1}^{\dim \bar{\mathfrak{g}}} (J_k \otimes t^n) \otimes (J_k \otimes t^{-n}). \tag{24}$$

For each $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, we put

$$b(X) = [r, X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X] \quad (X \in \mathfrak{g}). \tag{25}$$

Although the r-matrix itself contains an infinite sum, $b(X)$ always belongs to $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$, and thus we have the map $b : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ called the Lie bi-algebra structure of $\mathfrak{g}$. We let T be the transposition on $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ and ϖ be the natural projection from $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ to $U(\mathfrak{g})$:

$$T(X \otimes Y) = Y \otimes X, \quad \varpi(X \otimes Y) = XY \quad (X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}). \tag{26}$$

The following two lemmas play important roles in the next section and can be shown by direct calculations:

Lemma 3.2.2 *For $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} Tb(X) &= -b(X), && (27) \\ \varpi b(X) &= [\check{\rho}_{\mathfrak{g}}, X]. && (28) \end{aligned}$$

For a (possibly infinite) sum $x = \sum_k X_k \otimes Y_k$ ($X_k, Y_k \in \mathfrak{g}$), we set $x_{1,2} = x \otimes 1$, $x_{2,3} = 1 \otimes x$ and $x_{1,3} = \sum_k X_k \otimes 1 \otimes Y_k$.

Lemma 3.2.3 *The classical Yang-Baxter equation holds*:

$$[r_{1,2}, r_{2,3}] + [r_{1,3}, r_{2,3}] + [r_{1,2}, r_{1,3}] = 0.\square \tag{29}$$

3.3 Representations of $\mathfrak{g}$

Recall the category $\mathcal{O}$ of left $\mathfrak{g}$-modules: its object set (which will be denoted also by $\mathcal{O}$) consists of left $\mathfrak{g}$-modules which are finitely generated over $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{n}_+$-locally finite, and $\mathfrak{h}$-diagonalizable with finite dimensional weight spaces. We also define the lowest version $\mathcal{O}^\dagger$ of $\mathcal{O}$: its objects are finitely generated over $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{n}_-$-locally finite, and $\mathfrak{h}$-diagonalizable with finite dimensional weight spaces. For a weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, let $M(\lambda)$ denote the highest-weight Verma module with highest-weight λ, and $M^\dagger(\lambda)$ the lowest-weight Verma module with lowest-weight $-\lambda$. Their irreducible quotients are denoted by $L(\lambda)$ and $L^\dagger(\lambda)$ respectively. Apparently $M(\lambda), L(\lambda) \in \mathcal{O}$ and $M^\dagger(\lambda), L^\dagger(\lambda) \in \mathcal{O}^\dagger$.

For $\ell \in \mathbb{C}$, a $\mathfrak{g}$-module is said to be of level ℓ if the center $c_\mathfrak{g}$ acts as the scalar ℓ. In the following we fix a complex number ℓ. Let $\mathcal{O}(\ell)$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}^\dagger(\ell)$) be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{O}$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}^\dagger$) whose object set consists of level ℓ (resp. $-\ell$) objects in $\mathcal{O}$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}^\dagger$).

Put $\mathfrak{h}^*(\ell) = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \lambda(c_\mathfrak{g}) = \ell\}$ and let $P_\mathfrak{g}(\ell)$ (resp. ${P_\mathfrak{g}}^+(\ell)$) be the subset of $\mathfrak{h}^*(\ell)$ consisting of integral (resp. dominant integral) weights. Note that $L(\lambda)$ and $L^\dagger(\lambda)$ become integrable for $\lambda \in {P_\mathfrak{g}}^+(\ell)$ and that $P_\mathfrak{g}(\ell)$ is empty unless ℓ in an integer.

We also introduce the evaluation module, which do not belong to $\mathcal{O}$ nor $\mathcal{O}^\dagger$. Let $V_\square = \mathbb{C}^m$ be the vector representation of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ with natural basis $\{u_a\}_{a=1}^m$. It is convenient for later use to introduce notations $\bar{e}_a \in \bar{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ ($a = 1, \ldots, m$) denoting the weight of u_a. Note that $\sum_{a=1}^m \bar{e}_a = 0$. On the space $\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}] \otimes V_\square$, we define a $\mathfrak{g}$-module structure of level 0 from the correspondence

$$X \otimes f(t) \mapsto f(z) \otimes X, \ d_\mathfrak{g} \mapsto z\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \otimes id.$$

We identify the space $\mathbb{C}[\bar{P}] \otimes V_\square^{\otimes N}$ with $\bigotimes_{i=1}^N \left(\mathbb{C}[z_i, z_i^{-1}] \otimes V_\square\right)$, on which $\mathfrak{g}$ acts diagonally.

For a $\mathfrak{g}$ (resp. $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$)-module V and a weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$(resp. $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}^*$) let V_λ denote the weight space of V of weight λ. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*(\ell)$, the image of λ under the projection $\mathfrak{h}^* \to \bar{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ is denoted by $\bar{\lambda}$ and called the classical part of λ.

Construction of $\mathcal{H}$-modules

Throughout this paper we use the notation

$$M/\mathfrak{a} = M/\mathfrak{a}M, \tag{30}$$

for a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$ and an $\mathfrak{a}$-module M. For $A \in \mathcal{O}(\ell)$ and $B \in \mathcal{O}^\dagger(\ell)$, we put

$$\mathcal{F}(A,B) = \Big(A \otimes \mathbb{C}[\bar{P}] \otimes V_\square^{\otimes N} \otimes B\Big)\Big/\mathfrak{g}', \tag{31}$$

where $\mathfrak{g}'$ acts diagonally on the numerator. We will define an action of the degenerate double affine Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}$ on the space $\mathcal{F}(A,B)$ using the expression $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}[W] \otimes S[\mathfrak{t}']$ (see Proposition 1.3.7).

4.1 KZ connections

We first define an action of $W = \bar{W} \ltimes \bar{P}$ as follows; define the action of $\bar{W}$ on $A \otimes \mathbb{C}[\bar{P}] \otimes V_\square^{\otimes N} \otimes B$ by $\tau = \mathrm{id}_A \otimes \tau_1 \otimes \tau_2 \otimes \mathrm{id}_B$, where τ_1 denote the action on $\mathbb{C}[\bar{P}]$ and

$$\tau_2(w)(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_N) = v_{w(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{w(N)}, \tag{32}$$

for $w \in \bar{W}$, and $v_1, \ldots, v_N \in V_\square$. Let the elements of $\mathbb{C}[\bar{P}]$ act on $A \otimes \mathbb{C}[\bar{P}] \otimes V_\square^{\otimes N} \otimes B$ by usual multiplication. Apparently this action defines an action of W on $\mathcal{F}(A,B)$.

To define an action of $S[\mathfrak{t}']$, we introduce the KZ-connections in terms of the universal classical r-matrix of $\mathfrak{g}$. Since they do not preserve $\mathcal{F}(A,B)$ or $A \otimes \mathbb{C}[\bar{P}] \otimes V_\square^{\otimes N} \otimes B$, we need to consider localizations of these spaces once. Consider the manifold

$$X := (\mathbb{C}^*)^N \setminus \{\ (z_1, \ldots, z_N) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^N \mid z_i = z_j \text{ for some } i \neq j\ \}. \tag{33}$$

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be the sheaf of holomorphic functions on X and let $\mathcal{R}(U)$ is the ring of holomorphic functions on an open submanifold U of X. We regard $\mathbb{C}[\bar{P}] \otimes V_\square^{\otimes N}$ as a subspace of $\mathcal{R}(X) \otimes V_\square^{\otimes N}$. The diagonal action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $A \otimes \mathbb{C}[\bar{P}] \otimes V_\square^{\otimes N} \otimes B$ extends naturally to the one on $A \otimes \mathcal{R}(X) \otimes V_\square^{\otimes N} \otimes B$.

Fix a pair of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules A, B such that $A \in \mathcal{O}(\ell)$, $B \in \mathcal{O}^\dagger(\ell)$. For $i \in \{0, \ldots, N+1\}$, let $\theta_i : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes(N+2)}$ be the embedding to the $(i+1)$-th component. Then θ_i induces the map $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(A \otimes \mathbb{C}[\bar{P}] \otimes V_\square \otimes B)$ and is extended to the map $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(A \otimes \mathcal{R}(X) \otimes V_\square^{\otimes N} \otimes B)$, which are denoted by the same symbol θ_i. We set $\theta_{ij} = \theta_i \otimes \theta_j$ and put

$$r_{(0\,i)} = \theta_{0\,i}(r),\ r_{(i\,N+1)} = \theta_{i\,N+1}(r) \quad (1 \leq i \leq N), \tag{34}$$

which are well-defined operators on $A \otimes \mathcal{R}(X) \otimes V_{\Box}^{\otimes N} \otimes B$. On the other hand, for $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$ with $i < j$, we have formally

$$\theta_{ij}(r) = \theta_{ij}(\bar{r}) + \sum_{n \geq 1} (z_i/z_j)^n \theta_{ij}(\Omega), \tag{35}$$

where $\Omega = \bar{r} + T(\bar{r})$. These operators converge simultaneously only on the region $X_0 = \{\ (z_1, \ldots, z_N) \in X \mid |z_1| < |z_2| < \cdots < |z_N|\ \}$ of X to the elements

$$r_{(ij)} = \theta_{ij}(\bar{r}) + \frac{z_i/z_j}{1 - z_i/z_j} \theta_{ij}(\Omega). \tag{36}$$

of $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(A \otimes \mathcal{R}(X_0) \otimes V_{\Box}^{\otimes N} \otimes B)$. We extend $r_{(i,j)}$ holomorphically to an element in $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(A \otimes \mathcal{R}(X) \otimes V_{\Box}^{\otimes N} \otimes B)$. Then Lemma 3.2.3 implies the following:

Lemma 4.1.1 *The family of operators* $\{r_{(ij)}\}_{0 \leq i < j \leq N+1}$ *satisfies the classical Yang Baxter equations*:

$$[r_{(ij)}, r_{(jk)}] + [r_{(ik)}, r_{(jk)}] + [r_{(ij)}, r_{(ik)}] = 0 \quad (0 \leq i < j < k \leq N+1). \tag{37}$$

Definition 4.1.2 *We define the KZ-connection* ∇_i $(i = 1, \ldots, N)$ *as an element of* $\mathrm{End}\,(A \otimes \mathcal{R}(X) \otimes V_{\Box}^{\otimes N} \otimes B)$ *given by*

$$\nabla_i = \sum_{0 \leq j < i} r_{(ji)} - \sum_{i < j \leq N+1} r_{(ij)} + \theta_i\,(\check{\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}}) \tag{38}$$

The following proposition follows from direct calculations using Lemma 4.1.1.

Proposition 4.1.3 *The followings hold in* $\mathrm{End}\,(A \otimes \mathcal{R}(X) \otimes V_{\Box}^{\otimes N} \otimes B)$:

$$[\nabla_i, \nabla_j] = 0 \qquad (1 \leq i, j \leq N), \tag{39}$$

$$\tau(w)\,\nabla_i\,\tau(w^{-1}) = \nabla_{w(i)} \quad (w \in \bar{W}), \tag{40}$$

$$[\nabla_i, f] = (\ell + m)\partial_i f \qquad (f \in \mathcal{R}(X)). \tag{41}$$

Proposition 4.1.4 *Put* $\theta = \sum_{i=0}^{N+1} \theta_i$. *Then we have*

$$[\nabla_i, \theta(X)] = (\theta \otimes \theta_i)(b(X)) \tag{42}$$

for each $i = 1, \ldots, N$, *and* $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, *where* $\theta_i \otimes \theta_i(b(X))$ *means* $\theta_i \varpi(b(X))$. *In particular, the KZ connections* ∇_i *preserve the subspace* $\mathfrak{g}'(A \otimes \mathcal{R}(X) \otimes V_{\Box}^{\otimes N} \otimes B)$:

$$\nabla_i \left(\mathfrak{g}'(A \otimes \mathcal{R}(X) \otimes V_{\Box}^{\otimes N} \otimes B) \right) \subset \mathfrak{g}'(A \otimes \mathcal{R}(X) \otimes V_{\Box}^{\otimes N} \otimes B). \tag{43}$$

Proof. For $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, it is obvious that $[r_{(ij)}, (\theta_i + \theta_j)(X)] = \theta_{ij}(b(X))$, and thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}&[\nabla_i, \textstyle\sum_{k=0}^{N+1} \theta_k(X)] = \sum_{0\le j<i} \theta_{ji}(b(X)) - \sum_{i<j\le N+1} \theta_{ij}(b(X)) + \theta_i[\rho\check{}_{\mathfrak{g}}, X]\\ &= \textstyle\sum_{0\le j\le N+1, j\ne i} \theta_{ji}(b(X)) + \theta_i\varpi(b(X)) = \sum_{0\le j\le N+1} \theta_{ji}(b(X)).\end{aligned}$$

The second equality follows from (27) and (28). ☐

4.2 The Cherednik-Dunkl operator

We generalize the Cherednik-Dunkl operators by combining with the KZ connections to the operators on $A \otimes \mathcal{R}(X) \otimes V_\square^{\otimes N} \otimes B$, which turn out to act on $\mathcal{F}(A, B)$.

For each $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\xi \in \bar{\mathfrak{t}}$, the Cherednik-Dunkl operator $D_\xi = D_\xi(\kappa) \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{R}(X))$ is given by

$$D_\xi = \kappa\,\partial_\xi + \sum_{\alpha\in\bar{R}_+} \alpha(\xi)\frac{1-\tau_1(s_\alpha)}{1-e^{-\alpha}} - \bar{\rho}(\xi), \tag{44}$$

where $\bar{\rho} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in\bar{R}_+}\alpha \in \bar{\mathfrak{t}}^*$. Note that D_ξ preserves $\mathbb{C}[\bar{P}]$.

Proposition 4.2.1 (Cherednik) *The correspondence*

$$\begin{array}{lll} c & \mapsto \kappa, & \\ \xi & \mapsto D_\xi & (\xi \in \bar{\mathfrak{t}}), \\ w & \mapsto \tau_1(w) & (w \in \bar{W}), \\ f & \mapsto f\times & (f \in \mathcal{R}(X)) \end{array} \tag{45}$$

defines an action of $\mathcal{H}$ *on* $\mathcal{R}(X)$ *and on* $\mathbb{C}[\bar{P}]$.

Let us generalize the Cherednik-Dunkl operators to our case. For $\xi \in \bar{\mathfrak{t}}$, we set

$$\nabla_\xi = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \epsilon_i(\xi)\nabla_i \tag{46}$$

and define the operator $\mathcal{D}_\xi = \mathcal{D}_\xi(\kappa) \in \mathrm{End}\,(A \otimes \mathcal{R}(X) \otimes V_\square^{\otimes N} \otimes B)$ by

$$\mathcal{D}_\xi := \nabla_\xi + \sum_{\alpha\in\bar{R}_+} \alpha(\xi)\frac{1-\tau(s_\alpha)}{1-e^{-\alpha}} - \bar{\rho}(\xi) - \frac{m-1}{2m}\partial_\xi \log G, \tag{47}$$

where $G = \Pi_{\alpha\in\bar{R}}(1-e^{-\alpha}) \in \mathbb{C}[\bar{P}]$.

Theorem 4.2.2 (i) *The correspondence*

$$\begin{array}{lll} c & \mapsto \ell + m, & \\ \xi & \mapsto \mathcal{D}_\xi & (\xi \in \bar{\mathfrak{t}}), \\ w & \mapsto \tau(w) & (w \in \bar{W}), \\ f & \mapsto f\times & (f \in \mathcal{R}(X)) \end{array} \tag{48}$$

defines an action of $\mathcal{H}$ *on* $A \otimes \mathcal{R}(X) \otimes V_\square^{\otimes N} \otimes B$.

(ii) *The subspaces* $A \otimes \mathbb{C}[\bar{P}] \otimes V_\square^{\otimes N} \otimes B$ *and* $\mathfrak{g}'(A \otimes \mathbb{C}[\bar{P}] \otimes V_\square^{\otimes N} \otimes B)$ *are preserved by the above action. Therefore the correspondence* (48) *induces an action of* $\mathcal{H}$ *on the space* $\mathcal{F}(A, B)$.

Proof. (i) By Proposition 4.1.3, the relations between the operators ∇_ξ, w and f are same with the ones between $(\ell + m)\partial_\xi$, w and f. Therefore the statement is shown exactly as Proposition 4.2.1.

(ii) The fact that $\mathcal{D}_\xi$ preserves $A \otimes \mathbb{C}[\bar{P}] \otimes V_\square^{\otimes N} \otimes B$ follows from direct calculations. The rest is straightforward from the definition of the action and Proposition 4.1.4. □

In the rest of this paper, we put $\kappa = \ell + m$. It is easily checked that our construction is functorial in the following sense: for $\mathfrak{g}$-homomorphisms $f_1 : A \to A'$ and $f_2 : B \to B'$ between $\mathfrak{g}$-modules, the induced maps

$$f_{1*} : \mathcal{F}(A, B) \to \mathcal{F}(A', B), \quad f_{2*} : \mathcal{F}(A, B) \to \mathcal{F}(A, B') \tag{49}$$

are both $\mathcal{H}$-homomorphisms. Therefore we have the bifunctor from $\mathcal{O}(\ell) \times \mathcal{O}^\dagger(\ell)$ to the category of $\mathcal{H}(\kappa)$-modules.

Structure of $\mathcal{H}$-modules

Our next aim is to study $\mathcal{H}$-module structures of $\mathcal{F}(A, B)$ for $A \in \mathcal{O}(\ell)$ and $B \in \mathcal{O}^\dagger(\ell)$. For each $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*(\ell)$, we can define the right exact functor

$$\mathcal{F}_\mu(\cdot) = \mathcal{F}(M(\mu), \cdot\,) \tag{50}$$

from $\mathcal{O}^\dagger(\ell)$ to the category of $\mathcal{H}$-modules. We put

$$\mathcal{M}(\lambda, \mu) = \mathcal{F}_\mu(M^\dagger(\lambda)), \quad \mathcal{V}(\lambda, \mu) = \mathcal{F}_\mu(L^\dagger(\lambda)),$$

for each $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*(\ell)$. When $\lambda, \mu \in {P_{\mathfrak{g}}}^+(\ell)$, the space $\mathcal{V}(\lambda, \mu)$ is related to the dual space of conformal blocks (see Proposition 5.1.3 and [7]).

5.1 Fundamental properties

In this subsection, we study some properties of the bifunctor $\mathcal{F}$, which will be used later. Let $v(\mu)$ and $v^\dagger(\lambda)$ denote the highest (and lowest) weight vector of $M(\mu)$ and $M^\dagger(\lambda)$ respectively.

Lemma 5.1.1 *For $A \in \mathcal{O}(\ell), B \in \mathcal{O}^\dagger(\ell), \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*(\ell)$, and $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*(\ell)$, the natural inclusions $\mathbb{C}v(\mu) \to M(\mu)$ and $\mathbb{C}v^\dagger(\lambda) \to M^\dagger(\lambda)$ induce isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(M(\mu), B) &\cong \mathbb{C}v(\mu) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\bar{P}] \otimes V_\square^{\otimes N} \otimes B/\mathfrak{b}'_+, \\ \mathcal{F}(A, M^\dagger(\lambda)) &\cong A \otimes \mathbb{C}[\bar{P}] \otimes V_\square^{\otimes N} \otimes \mathbb{C}v^\dagger(\lambda)/\mathfrak{b}'_-.\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

Proof. Follows from the following well-known $\mathfrak{g}$-isomorphism for any $\mathfrak{g}$-module V:

$$M(\mu) \otimes V \cong U(\mathfrak{g}) \underset{U(\mathfrak{b}_+)}{\otimes} (\mathbb{C}v(\mu) \otimes V) \tag{52}$$

and its lowest version, where on the left-hand-side of (52), $\mathfrak{g}$ acts diagonally and on the right-hand-side by left multiplication. □

Again by (52), there is an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$\mathcal{M}(\lambda, \mu) \cong \mathbb{C}v(\mu) \otimes (\mathbb{C}[\bar{P}] \otimes V_\square^{\otimes N})_{\lambda-\mu} \otimes \mathbb{C}v^\dagger(\lambda).$$

Notice that the space on the right-hand-side is an $\mathcal{H}$-submodule of $M(\mu) \otimes (\mathbb{C}[\bar{P}] \otimes V_\square^{\otimes N}) \otimes M^\dagger(\lambda)$ with respect to the action (48). Hence we have

Proposition 5.1.2 *For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*(\ell)$, we have*

$$\mathcal{M}(\lambda, \mu) \cong \mathbb{C}v(\mu) \otimes (\mathbb{C}[\bar{P}] \otimes V_\square^{\otimes N})_{\lambda-\mu} \otimes \mathbb{C}v^\dagger(\lambda) \tag{53}$$

as $\mathcal{H}$-modules.

Proposition 5.1.3 *For $\lambda, \mu \in P_{\mathfrak{g}}{}^+(\ell)$, the natural projection $M(\mu) \to L(\mu)$ induces an $\mathcal{H}$-isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{F}_\mu(L^\dagger(\lambda)) \cong \mathcal{F}(L(\mu), L^\dagger(\lambda)). \tag{54}$$

Proof. It is obvious that the induced map $\mathcal{F}(M(\mu), L^\dagger(\lambda)) \to \mathcal{F}(L(\mu), L^\dagger(\lambda))$ is surjective. To show the injectivity, recall that the maximal submodule of $M(\mu)$ is generated by the singular vectors $v_a \in M(\mu)_{\sigma_a \circ \mu}$ $(a = 0, \ldots, m-1)$ satisfying $Xv_a = 0$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{n}_+$, where $\sigma_a \circ \mu = \sigma_a(\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}) - \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}$. By elementary calculations, it can be checked that, for any number $n \geq 0$, there exists a non-zero constant c_n such that $E^n_{\gamma_a} E^n_{-\gamma_a} v_a = c_n v_a$, where E_γ denotes the root vector corresponding to $\gamma \in R_g$. Put $V = \mathbb{C}[P] \otimes V_\Box^{\otimes N} \otimes L^\dagger(\lambda)$. It is enough to show that $U(\mathfrak{g}) v_a \otimes V \subset \mathfrak{g}(M(\mu) \otimes V)$ for each $a = 0, \ldots, m$, which is reduced to showing that

$$v_a \otimes u \in \mathfrak{g}(M(\mu) \otimes V) \tag{55}$$

for any $u \in V$. Since V is integrable and thus $\mathfrak{n}_+$-locally finite, there exists a number $n > 0$ such that $E^n_{a_i} u = 0$, and we have

$$c_n v_a \otimes u = v_a \otimes E^n_{\gamma_a} E^n_{-\gamma_a} u \equiv E^n_{-\gamma_a} E^n_{\gamma_a} v_i \otimes u \equiv 0 \mod \mathfrak{g}(M(\mu) \otimes V),$$

that implies (55). □

5.2 Isomorphisms to induced modules

We shall describe the $\mathcal{H}$-module structure of $\mathcal{M}(\lambda, \mu)$, which will be shown to be isomorphic to an induced module $\mathcal{Y}_\beta(\zeta) = \mathcal{H}(\kappa) \otimes_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}_\beta} \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}_\zeta$ (see §2.1) associated to an appropriate parameter (β, ζ) determined by $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*(\ell)$.

Recall that $\bar{e}_a \in \bar{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ $(a = 1, \ldots, m)$ denotes the weight of the standard basis u_a of $V_\Box$. Let us associate an ordered partition β of N and an element $\zeta \in (\mathfrak{t}')^*$ to each pair $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Assume that $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*(\ell)$ satisfy $\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\mu} \in \mathrm{wt}\,(V_\Box^{\otimes N})$. Then $\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\mu} = \sum_{a=1}^m \beta_a \bar{e}_a$ for some $\beta_a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ $(a = 1, \ldots, m)$ satisfying $\sum_{a=1}^m \beta_a = N$. Thus we define an ordered partition $\beta_{\lambda,\mu}$ of N by

$$\beta_{\lambda,\mu} = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_m). \tag{56}$$

Here, we allowed appearance of zeros as elements of an ordered partitions.

We keep the assumption that $\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\mu} \in \mathrm{wt}(V_\Box^{\otimes N})$. Put $\mu_a = (\mu, \bar{e}_a)$ $(a = 1, \ldots, m)$ and consider the set

$$Y_{\lambda,\mu} = Y_{\bar{\lambda},\bar{\mu}} := \{\, (a, p) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{C} \mid a = 1, \ldots, m;\ p = \mu_a + 1, \mu_a + 2, \ldots, \mu_a + \beta_a \,\}, \tag{57}$$

which we represent just by Y below. A bijection $T : Y \to \{1, \ldots, N\}$ is called a tableau on Y. We let $\mathcal{T}(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu})$ denote the set of tableaux on Y. Define $T_0 \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda, \mu)$ by

$$T_0(a, p) = \sum_{k=1}^{a-1} \beta_k + p - \mu_a. \tag{58}$$

Now, define $\bar{\zeta}_{\bar{\lambda},\bar{\mu}} \in \bar{\mathfrak{t}}^*$ and $\zeta_{\lambda,\mu} \in (\mathfrak{t}')^*$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\zeta}_{\bar{\lambda},\bar{\mu}} &= \sum_{(a,p) \in Y} (p - a) \epsilon_{T_0(a,p)} + \frac{1}{2m}(m^2 - N) \sum_{k=1}^N \epsilon_k && (59) \\ \zeta_{\lambda,\mu} &= \bar{\zeta}_{\bar{\lambda},\bar{\mu}} + \kappa c^* && (60) \end{aligned}$$

For $T \in \mathcal{T}(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu})$, let w_T denote the inverse image of $T \in \mathcal{T}(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu})$ under the isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \bar{W} & \to & \mathcal{T}(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}) \\ w & \mapsto & wT_0 : (a,p) \mapsto w(T_0(a,p)). \end{array}$$

Note that

$$w_T(\bar{\zeta}_{\bar{\lambda},\bar{\mu}}) = \sum_{(a,p)\in Y} (p-a)\epsilon_{T(a,p)} + \frac{1}{2m}(m^2 - N)\sum_{k=1}^{N} \epsilon_k. \tag{61}$$

The following lemma can be checked easily.

Lemma 5.2.1 *If $\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\mu} \in \mathrm{wt}(V_{\square}^{\otimes N})$, then $\zeta_{\lambda,\mu}$ (resp. $\bar{\zeta}_{\bar{\lambda},\bar{\mu}}$) belongs to $(\mathfrak{t}')^*_{\beta_{\lambda,\mu}}$ (resp. $\bar{\mathfrak{t}}^*_{\beta_{\lambda,\mu}}$) (see* (11) *for the definition of $(\mathfrak{t}')^*_\beta$).*

Let $u(\mu)$ and $u^\dagger(\lambda)$ be the highest and lowest weight vector in $M(\mu)$ and $M^\dagger(\lambda)$ respectively, and put

$$u_{\lambda,\mu} = v(\mu) \otimes \overbrace{u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_1}^{\beta_1} \otimes \overbrace{u_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_2}^{\beta_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \overbrace{u_m \otimes \cdots \otimes u_m}^{\beta_m} \otimes v^\dagger(\lambda). \tag{62}$$

By direct calculations, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2.2 *For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*(\ell)$ such that $\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\mu} \in \mathrm{wt}(V_{\square}^{\otimes N})$, the vector $u_{\lambda,\mu}$ is a weight vector with the weight $\zeta_{\lambda,\mu}$:*

$$\mathcal{D}_\xi u_{\lambda,\mu} = \zeta_{\lambda,\mu}(\xi) u_{\lambda,\mu} \quad \textit{for } \xi \in \mathfrak{t}. \tag{63}$$

By Proposition 5.1.2 and Lemma 5.2.2, we get the following conclusion:

Proposition 5.2.3 *For any $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*(\ell)$, we have a unique $\mathcal{H}$-module isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{M}(\lambda,\mu) \cong \begin{cases} \mathcal{Y}_{\beta_{\lambda,\mu}}(\zeta_{\lambda,\mu}) & \textit{if } \bar{\lambda} - \bar{\mu} \in \mathrm{wt}(V_{\square}^{\otimes N}), \\ 0 & \textit{otherwise}, \end{cases} \tag{64}$$

which sends $u_{\lambda,\mu}$ to $\mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{\lambda,\mu}}$.

5.3 Finite analogue of the functor $\mathcal{F}$

It is possible to construct the "finite version" of our functor $\mathcal{F}$, which is easier to study and has some suggestive properties. Consider the category $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ (resp. $\bar{\mathcal{O}}^*$) of highest (resp. lowest) weight $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules. For $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules $A \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}$ and $B \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}^*$, we put

$$\bar{\mathcal{F}}(A,B) := \left(A \otimes V_{\square}^{\otimes N} \otimes B\right) \Big/ \bar{\mathfrak{g}}. \tag{65}$$

and consider the operator

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}_i := \sum_{0\leq j<i}\left(\theta_{ji}(\bar{r})+\frac{1}{2m}\right)-\sum_{i<j\leq N+1}\left(\theta_{ij}(\bar{r})+\frac{1}{2m}\right)+\theta_i(\rho^{\vee}_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}}). \tag{66}$$

It can be shown that $\bar{\mathcal{D}}_i$ acts on $\bar{\mathcal{F}}(A, B)$ and the correspondence

$$\begin{array}{lll} \epsilon_i^{\vee} & \mapsto \bar{\mathcal{D}}_i & i = 1, \ldots, N, \\ w & \mapsto \tau_2(w) & w \in \bar{W} \end{array} \tag{67}$$

defines an action of $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$ on $\bar{\mathcal{F}}(A, B)$. For each $\mu \in \bar{\mathfrak{h}}^*$, we define a functor from the category $\bar{\mathcal{O}}^*$ to the category of finite dimensional $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$-modules by

$$\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\bar{\mu}}(\cdot) = \bar{\mathcal{F}}(\bar{M}(\bar{\mu}), \cdot), \tag{68}$$

and put $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\bar{\mu}}(\bar{M}^{\dagger}(\bar{\lambda})) = \bar{\mathcal{M}}(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu})$, $\quad \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\bar{\mu}}(\bar{L}^{\dagger}(\bar{\lambda})) = \bar{\mathcal{V}}(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu})$, where $\bar{M}(\bar{\lambda})$ denotes the highest weight left Verma module of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ with highest weight $\bar{\lambda} \in \bar{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ etc. As an analogue of Proposition 5.1.3 we have $\bar{\mathcal{V}}(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}) \cong \bar{\mathcal{F}}(\bar{L}(\bar{\mu}), \bar{L}^{\dagger}(\bar{\lambda}))$ if $\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu} \in \bar{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ are both dominant integral. An analogue of Proposition 5.2.3 also holds:

Proposition 5.3.1 *For any $\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu} \in \bar{\mathfrak{h}}^*$, we have the following $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$-module isomorphism:*

$$\bar{\mathcal{M}}(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}) \cong \begin{cases} \bar{\mathcal{Y}}_{\beta_{\bar{\lambda},\bar{\mu}}}(\bar{\zeta}_{\bar{\lambda},\bar{\mu}}) & \text{if } \bar{\lambda} - \bar{\mu} \in \mathrm{wt}(V_{\Box}^{\otimes N}), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \tag{69}$$

where $\beta_{\bar{\lambda},\bar{\mu}}$ and $\bar{\zeta}_{\bar{\lambda},\bar{\mu}}$ are defined by the similar formulas as (56) *and* (59) *respectively.*

Under finite situations, standard arguments using the infinitesimal characters deduce the following:

Proposition 5.3.2 *Suppose that $\bar{\mu} \in \bar{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ satisfies*

$$(\bar{\mu} + \rho_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}}, \gamma) \notin \{-1, -2, \ldots\} \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in R_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}+},$$

then the functor $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\bar{\mu}}$ is exact.

Now, let us suppose that $\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}$ are both dominant integral. Then $\bar{L}(\bar{\lambda})$ is finite dimensional and the dimension of $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\bar{\mu}}(\bar{L}(\bar{\lambda}))$ is calculated using Shur-Weyl reciprocity law and turns out to equal the number of standard tableaux on the skew Young diagram $Y_{\bar{\lambda},\bar{\mu}}$: A tableau $T \in \mathcal{T}(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu})$ on $Y_{\bar{\lambda},\bar{\mu}}$ is called a standard tableau if T satisfies the following two conditions:

$$\begin{array}{ll} T(a,p) < T(a,p+1) & \text{if } (a,p),(a,p+1) \in Y_{\bar{\lambda},\bar{\mu}}, \\ T(a,p) < T(a+1,p) & \text{if } (a,p),(a+1,p) \in Y_{\bar{\lambda},\bar{\mu}}. \end{array}$$

Let $\mathcal{T}_s(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu})$ denote the set of standard tableaux on $Y_{\bar{\lambda},\bar{\mu}}$ (then $\dim \mathcal{V}(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}) = \#\mathcal{T}_s(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu})$), Note that $w_T \in \bar{W}^{\beta_{\lambda,\mu}}$ for $T \in \mathcal{T}_s(\lambda, \mu)$. The following proposition can be proved by using Proposition 1.4.3 and Proposition 2.5.3.

Proposition 5.3.3 *Let $\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu} \in \bar{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ be both dominant integral. Then $\bar{\mathcal{V}}(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu})$ is the unique irreducible quotient of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu})$. Moreover, its basis is given by $\{\varphi_{w_T} \mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{\bar{\lambda},\bar{\mu}}}\}_{T \in \mathcal{T}_s(\bar{\lambda},\bar{\mu})}$. In particular $S[\bar{\mathfrak{t}}]$ acts semisimply on $\bar{\mathcal{V}}(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu})$.*

5.4 Dominant integral case

As a consequence of Proposition 5.2.3, we have that the $\mathcal{H}$-module $\mathcal{M}(\lambda, \mu)$ is irreducible if $\zeta_{\lambda,\mu}$ is $\beta_{\lambda,\mu}$-generic (Definition 2.4.1).

Let us consider the case where λ and μ are both dominant integral. The following statement is a corollary of Corollary 2.5.4:

Corollary 5.4.1 *If $\mu \in P_{\mathfrak{g}}{}^+(\ell)$, then $\mathcal{M}(\lambda, \mu)$ has a unique irreducible quotient.*

Proof. It can be checked that the condition $\mu \in P_{\mathfrak{g}}{}^+(\ell)$ implies the condition (18) in Corollary 2.5.4 for $\zeta_{\lambda,\mu}$. □

Conjecture 5.4.2 *Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*(\ell)$ be both dominant integral. Then $\mathcal{V}(\lambda, \mu)$ is the unique irreducible quotient of $\mathcal{M}(\lambda, \mu)$. Moreover $S[\mathfrak{t}']$ acts semisimply on $\mathcal{V}(\lambda, \mu)$.*

Symmetric part

In the rest of this paper we focus on the $\bar{W}$-invariant part of $\mathcal{V}(\lambda, \mu)$ for $\lambda, \mu \in P_{\mathfrak{g}}{}^+(\ell)$ and attempt to give a description corresponding to Corollary 2.4.7.

6.1 Specialized characters

As an approach to investigate the $\mathcal{H}$-modules, we consider their characters with respect to the operator

$$L_1 := \frac{1}{\ell + m} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{D}_i - \frac{1}{2m} N(m^2 - N) \right\} \in S[\bar{\mathfrak{t}}]^{\bar{W}}. \tag{70}$$

To this end, we introduce "the polynomial part" of $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{F}_\mu(B)$ (see §1.3). Put

$$\mathcal{F}_\mu^{\geq 0}(B) = \mathbb{C}v(\mu) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\bar{P}^{\geq 0}] \otimes V_\square^{\otimes N} \otimes B/\mathfrak{b}'_+. \tag{71}$$

Then $\mathcal{F}_{\mu}^{\geq 0}(B)$ has the $\mathcal{H}^{\geq 0}$-module structure. For a vector space M with a semisimple action of L_1 with a finite dimensional eigen space decomposition, we put

$$\operatorname{ch} M = \operatorname{Trace}_M q^{L_1} \tag{72}$$

and call $\operatorname{ch} M$ the specialized character of M, where q is a parameter. It is easily checked that $\operatorname{ch} \mathcal{F}_{\mu}^{\geq 0}(B)$ is well-defined for any $B \in \mathcal{O}^{\dagger}(\ell)$. Put $\mathcal{M}^{\geq 0}(\lambda, \mu) = \mathcal{F}_{\mu}^{\geq 0}(M^{\dagger}(\lambda))$ and $\mathcal{V}^{\geq 0}(\lambda, \mu) = \mathcal{F}_{\mu}^{\geq 0}(L^{\dagger}(\lambda))$.

The same argument as Lemma 5.1.2 shows that

$$\mathcal{M}^{\geq 0}(\lambda, \mu) \cong \mathbb{C}v(\mu) \otimes (\mathbb{C}[\bar{P}^{\geq 0}] \otimes V_{\square}^{\otimes N})_{\lambda - \mu} \otimes \mathbb{C}v^{\dagger}(\lambda),$$

as an $\mathcal{H}$-module. On the right-hand-side, we have

$$L_1 \equiv \triangle_{\bar{\lambda}} - \triangle_{\bar{\mu}} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \partial_i, \tag{73}$$

where $\triangle_{\bar{\lambda}} = \frac{1}{2(\ell+m)} \Big((\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\lambda}) + 2(\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\lambda}) \Big)$. ¿From (73), the specialized characters of $\mathcal{M}^{\geq 0}(\lambda, \mu)$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\geq 0}(\lambda, \mu)^{\bar{W}}$ are calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ch} \mathcal{M}^{\geq 0}(\lambda, \mu) &= \begin{pmatrix} N \\ \beta_{\lambda,\mu} \end{pmatrix} \frac{q^{\triangle_{\bar{\lambda}} - \triangle_{\bar{\mu}}}}{(q)_N}, \\ \operatorname{ch} \mathcal{M}^{\geq 0}(\lambda, \mu)^{\bar{W}} &= \begin{bmatrix} N \\ \beta_{\lambda,\mu} \end{bmatrix} \frac{q^{\triangle_{\bar{\lambda}} - \triangle_{\bar{\mu}}}}{(q)_N}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively, where $(q)_N = (1-q)(1-q^2)\cdots(1-q^N)$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} N \\ \beta_{\lambda,\mu} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{N!}{\beta_1! \beta_2! \cdots \beta_m!}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} N \\ \beta_{\lambda,\mu} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(q)_N}{(q)_{\beta_1} (q)_{\beta_2} \cdots (q)_{\beta_m}}.$$

It is natural to attempt to construct a resolution of $\mathcal{V}(\lambda, \mu)$ by induced modules to calculate the character for $\mathcal{V}(\lambda, \mu)$. Recall the BGG resolution for $L^{\dagger}(\lambda)$, which is the exact sequence

$$0 \leftarrow L^{\dagger}(\lambda) \leftarrow M^{\dagger}(\lambda) \leftarrow C_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow C_i \leftarrow \cdots \tag{74}$$

of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules with

$$C_i = \bigoplus_{w \in W_{\mathfrak{g}}{}^{(i)}} M^{\dagger}(w \circ \lambda),$$

where $W_{\mathfrak{g}}{}^{(i)}$ is the subset of the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$ consisting of elements of length i. Sending by the functor $\mathcal{F}_{\mu}$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}_{\mu}^{\geq 0}$), we have the complex of $\mathcal{H}$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}^{\geq 0}$)-modules respectively:

$$0 \leftarrow \mathcal{V}(\lambda, \mu) \leftarrow \mathcal{M}(\lambda, \mu) \leftarrow \mathcal{C}_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow \mathcal{C}_i \leftarrow \cdots, \tag{75}$$

$$0 \leftarrow \mathcal{V}^{\geq 0}(\lambda, \mu) \leftarrow \mathcal{M}^{\geq 0}(\lambda, \mu) \leftarrow \mathcal{C}_1^{\geq 0} \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow \mathcal{C}_i^{\geq 0} \leftarrow \cdots, \tag{76}$$

where we put $\mathcal{M}^{\geq 0}(\lambda,\mu)=\mathcal{F}^{\geq 0}_{\bar{\mu}}(M^{\dagger}(\lambda))$ and $\mathcal{V}^{\geq 0}(\lambda,\mu)=\mathcal{F}^{\geq 0}_{\bar{\mu}}(L^{\dagger}(\lambda))$. Note that each $\mathcal{C}_i$ or $\mathcal{C}_i^{\geq 0}$ is a direct sum of induced modules:

$$\mathcal{C}_i=\bigoplus_{w\in W_{\mathfrak{g}}{}^{(i)}}\mathcal{M}(w\circ\lambda,\mu),\quad \mathcal{C}_i^{\geq 0}=\bigoplus_{w\in W_{\mathfrak{g}}{}^{(i)}}\mathcal{M}^{\geq 0}(w\circ\lambda,\mu). \tag{77}$$

Therefore if the sequence (76) is exact, we can calculate the character of $\mathcal{V}^{\geq 0}(\lambda,\mu)$. It can be shown the above sequences are exact when κ is large enough, but in general case it is still conjecture:

Conjecture 6.1.1 *The sequences* (75) *and* (76) *are exact.*

Note that the above conjecture leads to the formula

$$\mathrm{ch}\mathcal{V}^{\geq 0}(\lambda,\mu)^{\bar{W}}=\sum_{w\in W_{\mathfrak{g}}}(-1)^{l(w)}q^{(w\circ\lambda)(d_{\mathfrak{g}})}\begin{bmatrix} N \\ \beta_{w\circ\lambda,\mu}\end{bmatrix}\frac{q^{\triangle_{\bar{\lambda}}-\triangle_{\bar{\mu}}}}{(q)_N}, \tag{78}$$

6.2 Main conjecture

In the following we fix a pair $(\lambda,\mu)\in P_{\mathfrak{g}}{}^{+}(\ell)\times P_{\mathfrak{g}}{}^{+}(\ell)$ satisfying $\bar{\lambda}-\bar{\mu}\in\mathrm{wt}\,(V_{\square}^{\otimes N})$. Recall that we associated a partition $\beta_{\lambda,\mu}$ of N such that $\bar{\lambda}-\bar{\mu}=\sum_{a=1}^{m}\beta_a\bar{e}_a$, and a diagram

$$Y_{\lambda,\mu}=\{(a,p)\in\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{C}\mid a=1,\ldots,m\,;\ p=\mu_a+1,\mu_a+2\ldots,\mu_a+\beta_a\}\,. \tag{79}$$

where $\bar{\mu}_a=(\mu_a,\bar{e}_i)$. As in §5.3, let $\mathcal{T}(\lambda,\mu)$ (resp. $\mathcal{T}_s(\lambda,\mu)$) denote the set of tableaux (resp standard tableaux) on $Y=Y_{\lambda,\mu}$.

For $T\in\mathcal{T}(\lambda,\mu)$ and a number $n\leq N$, let $\beta_T^{(n)}=(\beta_{T\,1}^{(n)},\ldots,\beta_{T\,m}^{(n)})$ denote the partition of n corresponding to the diagram $Y_T^{(n)}=\{(a,p)\in Y_{\lambda,\mu}\mid T(a,p)\leq n\}$: namely, $\beta_{T\,a}^{(n)}=\#\{p\in\mathbb{Z}+\mu_a\mid (a,p)\in Y_T^{(n)}\}$. Put

$$\lambda_T^{(n)}=\sum_{a=1}^{m}\left(\mu_a+\beta_{T\,a}^{(n)}-\frac{n}{m}\right)\bar{e}_a+\ell c_{\mathfrak{g}}^{*}.$$

Then it is checked that $\lambda_T^{(n)}$ belongs to $P_{\mathfrak{g}}(\ell)$. A standard tableau $T\in\mathcal{T}_s(\lambda,\mu)$ is said to be ℓ-restricted if all the weights $\lambda_T^{(1)}$, $\lambda_T^{(2)}$,$\cdots$, $\lambda_T^{(N)}$ belong to $P_{\mathfrak{g}}{}^{+}(\ell)$. We let $\mathcal{T}_s^{(\ell)}(\lambda,\mu)$ denote the set of ℓ-restricted standard tableaux on Y.

For $T\in\mathcal{T}(\lambda,\mu)$, let $w_T\in\bar{W}^{\beta}$ be the corresponding element. Direct calculations imply the following lemma:

Lemma 6.2.1 *Let* $T\in\mathcal{T}_s^{(\ell)}(\lambda,\mu)$.

(i) For $i \in \{1, \ldots, N-1\}$, let $T(a,p) = i$ and $T(a',p') = i+1$. Then we have

$$\begin{array}{rlll} -(\kappa-1) \leq & (w_T(\zeta_{\lambda,\mu}), \alpha_i) & \leq -2 & \text{if} \quad a > a', \\ & (w_T(\zeta_{\lambda,\mu}), \alpha_i) & = -1 & \text{if} \quad a = a', \\ 1 \leq & (w_T(\zeta_{\lambda,\mu}), \alpha_i) & \leq \kappa - 2 & \text{if} \quad a < a'. \end{array} \tag{80}$$

(ii) For any $\alpha \in \bar{R}_+$, we have

$$(w_T \zeta_{\lambda,\mu}, \alpha) \leq \kappa - 2. \tag{81}$$

Recall that we associated $\eta_w \in \bar{P}_-(w)$ for each $w \in \bar{W}^\beta$ in Definition 2.3.2.

Lemma 6.2.2 *For each $T \in \mathcal{T}_s^{(\ell)}(\lambda,\mu)$, we have*

$$\eta_{w_T} = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} d_i(T)(\check{\epsilon}_{i+1} + \cdots + \check{\epsilon}_N). \tag{82}$$

Here

$$d_i(T) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a < a' \\ 0 & \text{if } a \geq a' \end{cases},$$

with $T(a,p) = i$ and $T(a',p') = i+1$.

Remark 6.2.3 *The function $d_i : \mathcal{T}_s^{(\ell)}(\lambda,\mu) \to \mathbb{N}$ can be identified with the so-called H-function in the RSOS model* (*see* [*8*]).

Let $d(T) = \eta_{w_T}(\epsilon_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_N)$ and define

$$F_{\lambda,\mu}(q) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_s^{(\ell)}(\lambda,\mu)} q^{d(T)}. \tag{83}$$

By the above remark, the following holds by using standard arguments in the solvable lattice model (see [8],[9]):

Theorem 6.2.4

$$F_{\lambda,\mu}(q) = \sum_{w \in W_{\mathfrak{g}}} (-1)^{l(w)} q^{(w \circ \lambda)(d_{\mathfrak{g}})} \left[\begin{array}{c} N \\ \beta_{w\circ\lambda,\mu} \end{array} \right]. \tag{84}$$

Combining with Conjecture 6.1.1, we have a simple formula

$$\operatorname{ch} \mathcal{V}^{\geq 0}(\lambda,\mu)^{\bar{W}} = F_{\lambda,\mu}(q) \cdot \frac{q^{\triangle_{\bar{\lambda}} - \triangle_{\bar{\mu}}}}{(q)_N}. \tag{85}$$

Set $X_\ell^{\beta_{\lambda,\mu}} = \{ t_\eta w_T \mid T \in \mathcal{T}_s^{(\ell)}(\lambda,\mu),\ \eta \in \bar{P}_-(w_T) \} \subseteq X^{\beta_{\lambda,\mu}}$.

Theorem 6.2.5 *Let* $\lambda, \mu \in P_{\mathfrak{g}}{}^{+}(\ell)$ *and* $Q = \frac{1}{N!}\sum_{w\in\bar{W}} w \in \mathbb{C}[\bar{W}]$. *Then for each* $x \in X_\ell^{\beta_{\lambda,\mu}}$, *the element* $Q\varphi_x \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{\lambda,\mu}}$ *is non-zero. Moreover the set* $\{Q\varphi_x \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{\lambda,\mu}}\}_{x\in X_\ell^{\beta_{\lambda,\mu}}}$ *is linearly independent:*

$$\mathcal{V}(\mu,\lambda)^{\bar{W}} \supseteq \bigoplus_{x\in X_\ell^{\beta_{\lambda,\mu}}} \mathbb{C}Q\varphi_x \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{\lambda,\mu}}.$$

In particular, we have

$$\mathrm{ch}\mathcal{V}^{\geq 0}(\mu,\lambda)^{\bar{W}} \geq F_{\lambda,\mu}(q) \cdot \frac{q^{\triangle_{\bar{\lambda}}-\triangle_{\bar{\mu}}}}{(q)_N}.$$

Proof. Take any $x \in X_\ell^{\beta_{\lambda,\mu}}$. It is easy to see $x\overline{(\zeta_{\lambda,\mu})} \in \bar{\mathfrak{t}}^*_{\beta(x)}$.

Direct calculations using Lemma 6.2.1 and Lemma 6.2.2 implies that

$$x\overline{(\zeta_{\lambda,\mu})} \in \bar{\mathfrak{t}}^*_{\beta(x)} \text{ is } \beta(x)\text{-generic,} \tag{86}$$

and $(\zeta_{\lambda,\mu}, \alpha) \neq 0, \pm 1$ for any $\alpha \in S(x)$. In particular,

$$\varphi_x \text{ is invertible on } \mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{\lambda,\mu}}. \tag{87}$$

By Lemma 2.4.5, we have $s_i\varphi_x\mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{\lambda,\mu}} = \varphi_x\mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{\lambda,\mu}}$ for all $\alpha_i \in \bar{\Pi}_{\beta(x)}$. Thus we have an $\mathcal{H}$-homomorphism

$$\bar{\mathcal{Y}}_{\beta(x)}(x\overline{(\zeta_{\lambda,\mu})}) \to \bar{\mathcal{H}}\varphi_x\mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{\lambda,\mu}} \tag{88}$$

defined via $\mathbf{1}_{x\overline{(\zeta_{\lambda,\mu})}} \mapsto \varphi_x \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{\lambda,\mu}}$.

It follows from (86) that $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}_{\beta}(x)(x\overline{(\zeta_{\lambda,\mu})})$ is irreducible, and thus $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}_{\beta}(x)(x\overline{(\zeta_{\lambda,\mu})}) \cong \bar{\mathcal{H}}\varphi_x\mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{\lambda,\mu}}$. Therefore $Q\varphi_x\mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{\lambda,\mu}} \neq 0$.

Next let us prove that $\{Q\varphi_x\mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{\lambda,\mu}}\}_{x\in X_\ell^{\beta_{\lambda,\mu}}}$ is linearly independent.

Note that $\mu \in P_{\mathfrak{g}}^{+}(\ell)$ implies that $\beta_{\lambda,\mu}$ and $\zeta_{\lambda,\mu}$ satisfies the condition (18) in Proposition 2.5.3. Hence we have

$$\mathcal{Y}_{\beta_{\lambda,\mu}}(\zeta_{\lambda,\mu})^{\mathrm{gen}}_{\zeta_{\lambda,\mu}} = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{\lambda,\mu}}. \tag{89}$$

Combining with (87), it follows that

$$\text{the map } X_\ell^{\beta_{\lambda,\mu}} \to (\mathfrak{t}')^*_\beta \text{ given by } x \mapsto x(\zeta_{\lambda,\mu}) \text{ is injective.}$$

For any $x \in X_\ell^{\beta_{\lambda,\mu}}$, it can be proved from Lemma 6.2.1 and Lemma 6.2.2 that

$$(x(\zeta_{\lambda,\mu}), \alpha_i) \leq 0 \text{ for any } i = 1, \ldots, N-1.$$

Therefore

$$\text{the map } X_\ell^{\beta_{\lambda,\mu}} \to (\mathfrak{t}')^*_\beta/\bar{W} \text{ given by } x \mapsto [x(\zeta_{\lambda,\mu})] \text{ is injective.}$$

Hence $\{Q\varphi_x \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{\lambda,\mu}}\}_{x \in X_\ell^{\beta_{\lambda,\mu}}}$ is linearly independent. □

The specialized character formula (85) and the above Theorem 6.2.5 imply the following:

Conjecture 6.2.6 *For $\lambda, \mu \in {P_{\mathfrak{g}}}^+(\ell)$, we have*

$$\mathcal{V}(\mu,\lambda)^{\bar{W}} = \bigoplus_{T \in \mathcal{T}_s^{(\ell)}(\lambda,\mu)} \bigoplus_{\eta \in \bar{P}_-(w_T)} \mathbb{C}Q\varphi_{t_\eta \cdot w_T} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{\lambda,\mu}}. \tag{90}$$

References

[1] I. Cherednik, *Elliptic Quantum Many-Body Problem and Double Affine Kniznik-Zamolodohikov Equation*, Commun. Math. Phys., **169**, 441-461 (1995)

[2] A. Matsuo, *Integrable connections related to zonal spherical functions.* Invent. Math. **110** 95-121(1992).

[3] E. M. Opdam, *Harmonic analysis for certain representation of graded Hecke algebras*, Acta. Math. , **175**, 75-121 (1995)

[4] G. Lusztig, *Affine Hecke algebras and their graded version*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **2**, 599-685 (1989)

[5] J. D. Rogawski, *On modules over the Hecke Algebra of a p-adic group*, Invent. math., **79**, 443-465 (1985)

[6] I. Cherednik, *Special Bases of Irreducible Representations of a Degenerate Affine Hecke Algebra*, Func. Anal. Appl, **20:1**, 87-89 (1986)

[7] A. Tsuchiya, K. Ueno and H. Yamada, *Conformal field theory on universal family of stable curves with gauge symmetries*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., **19**, 459-566 (1989)

[8] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa and M. Okado, *Local State Probabilities Of Solvable Lattice Models*, Nucl. Phys. **B300**[**FS22**], 74-108 (1988)

[9] G. E. Andrews, R. J. Baxter, P. J. Forrester, *Eight-vertex SOS model and generalized Rogers-Ramanujan type identities*, J. Stat. Phys. **35**, 193-266 (1984)

[10] T. Arakawa, T. Nakanishi, K. Ohshima, A. Tsuchiya, *Spectral decomposition of path space in solvable lattice model*, Commun. Math. Phys. **181**, 157-182 (1996)

(Tomoyuki Arakawa) Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Japan
e-mail: tarakawa@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

(Takeshi Suzuki) Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Japan
e-mail: takeshi@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp

(Akihiro Tsuchiya) Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Japan
e-mail: tsuchiya@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp